This is an excerpt from *The Prehistoric Angel Diary* by Dave Leach. This excerpt explains, from the perspective of angels, how billions of years of development of stars could occur while only **Six Literal Days** passed on Earth, and how their light, from millions of light years away, could reach the Earth after only 4 days. This section is based on "Starlight and Time", by Russel Humphreys.





The Angel Diary excavated, and accidentally destroyed, by our team of scientists at Grand Canyon Anomaly Site #19. Fortunately Prof. Leach, 2<sup>nd</sup> from left in

this documentary photograph, was able to reconstruct portions of the Diary from his video record.



reconstructed by Dave Leach (Videographic Scientist)

## How the Diary was Discovered

It happened during excavations in the Grand Canyon, that hotbed of dispute between evolutionists and creationists.

(Evolutionists claim it was carved by a river over millions of years. Creationists observe that it is so similar to the smaller canyon created in a single day by a mud flow from Mt. St. Helens, in the 1980's, that a more likely scenario is its rapid creation by the swift drainage of an inland sea during or after Noah's flood.)

It was in that appropriately grand setting that a spectacular artifact was discovered which seemed from another age or another world.

The artifact was unearthed just before sunset, but as the light waned the artifact grew brighter. It quickly became obvious that it was, itself, a source of light, so video cameras were quickly dispatched to inspect it.

As it grew brighter, it began to shimmer, as if it were becoming chemically unstable and might at any moment disintegrate or, worse, explode.

The archeologists reasoned that it was reacting chemically to air, so a brave soul volunteered to try to put it into an airtight container. But when he attempted to pick it up with some padded tongs, the artifact burst into a thousand fragments like a white dandelion which a child attempts to pick up by its head.

The flat fragments scattered about like the pages of an ancient book, and glowed white like embers in a breeze. After a few moments they wholly disintegrated, like embers fully consumed, but their light wafted upwards like the smoke of a fire, slow and massive at first, then more concentrated and faster, until finally it shot skywards like a lightning bolt and disappeared.

Not since a Russian oil-drilling team dug a hole to hell, and reported hearing the screams of the damned, an event carried in some of America's most respectable Supermarket Tabloids, had there been such a stir in the scientific community!

When the video footage was examined, writing was discovered on the fragments, in a language which appeared to be a precursor of Hebrew. Painstaking frame-by-frame reconstruction of the video footage of the writing has produced substantial excerpts of what must have been a lengthy document.

The author identifies himself as "Justinius". The scientists on the team won't commit themselves to any of the bizarre theories about the nature of the document, or the background or identity of Justinius. They will say only that they are *unable to disprove* a couple of the theories which are based on an analysis of the text, and which find support, among the non-scientists employed by our team, in the mysterious nature of the artifact itself.

The two leading theories allege that the document was the diary of Justinius, and that *Justinius was, or is, an angel.* 

**TRANSLATOR'S NOTE:** My guide in selecting excerpts has been my ability to construct complete stories from the fragments; that is, when too little of a story was available to tell a whole story I omitted the parts.

Another criteria was whether I myself could make sense of the record. Many items were of events and environments so unimaginable to me that my poor attempts to translate them would have resulted in gibberish. Fortunately several of the entries were of events also recorded in Scripture, so that I had the advantage of corroborating literature, sort of a spiritual Rosetta Stone, to flesh out some of the obscure terms and provide them a sensible translation.

To aid in this inquiry, I developed a computer program which searches text for any similarity to Scripture and lists the comparable references. This works fairly well with any text, but it was made more effective with the Diary of Justinius by my discovery that the mathematical arrangement of Justinius' text can be programmed to select references with precision.

Specifically, I discovered that the total of the numerical values of each sentence, divided by the hypotenuse of the Factor of every third line, added to the number of light seconds from the sun to the moon, subtracted from the

mean temperature of the mountain bearing Noah's Ark, multiplied by the germ count on a Kosher kitchen sink, produces an arrangement of three numbers. When the first number is taken to refer to one of the 66 books of the Bible, the second number is taken to identify the chapter, and the third number is taken to identify the verse, a passage is selected which bears uncanny resemblance to the text under study.

The scientific community has dubbed my computer program *The VerseScout*. That is the banner under which, for the reader's benefit, I will report, along with my translation, its findings.

Not always is the relevance of the *VerseScout* report, to the Diary passage in question, obvious. I have designed a plugin program to explain the relevance, called *VerseScout Relevance Report*.

I have published these VS and VSRR reports completely unedited. You are reading them precisely as they came out of the computer, so that you may be confident that I have kept all this VS as objective and scientific as possible.

It has been beyond my capacity to make any definitive inquiry whether the history recorded by Justinius has been accurate. The most it has lain in my poor powers to attempt has been to determine that these events *might* have happened, being at least not inconsistent with scientifically established facts or the Scriptural record.

Scholars interested in examining the complete reconstructed text of the Grand Canyon Artifact #34,778 may write to the Smithsonian Institute, which will be glad to tell you where you can find help.

As a personal note, I recommend you do NOT read the *VerseScout* and *VerseScout Relevance Report* entries. As any scientist knows, Scripture is boring. Only the words of men (well, in this case, an angel, though we do not have the writings of other angels to establish a general rule) are interesting.

*VerseScout*: John 5:43 I am come in my Father's name, and ye receive me not: if another shall come in his own name, him ye will receive.

Oh no. I thought I turned that thing off for the introduction! But see what I mean? That wasn't interesting at all, was it, compared with my ideas? Well, use your own judgment. I feel obligated, in the interest of Science, to make available the results of these computer tests. But personally I think this will read a lot faster if you just skip what God has to say and go right to the good part.

Just look at the Bible! How many Christians read it even once through, in their whole lives, though it is only the length of five short books, or one Doctoral Thesis, or one half the IRS Code, or one third of a politician's itemized deductions? Doesn't that prove it is not worth reading? I'm just thinking of you.

The only reason I'm including these *VerseScout* reports is to satisfy a few scientists who like to see that there are footnotes documenting the primary text. Not that they would actually *read* them.

You ask, "Well then why didn't you bury them in small-print footnotes at the end of the book so they wouldn't bother us while we're trying to read?"

It's my wife's fault. She insisted. She said there may be an occasional reader who reads, not only for entertainment, but for accurate information on how to live, who will want to track what corroboration these Diaries have in the Bible, who will never find them at the end of the book. I tried to explain to her that I have never met such readers, but, well, I know you will understand guys, but I didn't want to have to put up with my own cooking.

But the important thing is that this book's scientific status be made clear, which I hope has been accomplished by seeing to it that the relevance of the Word of God has at least been protested.

My final reason for recommending you do not read the VS or VSRR reports, is that they are hard to read. You will notice the *VerseScout* entries are in the King James Version. I wanted to use a modern version, but the *VerseScout* program was not able to bridge the 6000 year gulf between the concepts at Creation and concepts today. My computer kept crashing. Had I not thought of using the KJV to shorten the gap by 400 years, I would not have been able to make the program work at all.

Likewise, date code errors plagued the computer's VSRR reports, plunging its output back 150 years, when American grammar was far more complex, words were bigger, and sentences were longer – in short, when grammar approached the complexity employed by King James' translators, who as faithfully as possible honored the grammatical complexity of the original Greek, I am told.

I was able to keep Justinius' Diary notes simple enough to meet modern publishing standards (short

sentences, easy grammar, little words) simply because I did the translation myself. It would have taken an extra few years to translate the VS and VSRR reports to meet modern publishing standards because first of all, I would have had to *understand* the reports. Literature of this sort is not within my area of expertise. I am a Scientist, after all.

But I couldn't see the point. Why would anyone want to read them anyway? Just skip them. Who wants to read what *God* wrote, anyway? Just read what *I* wrote.

One other tiny detail, about something some people care about who do not care about God: grammar.

"Help," screamed the drowning damsel.

Can you honesty tell me the damsel put a comma after the word "help", rather than an exclamation point? I think not! I think, "putting, within quote marks, punctuation not belonging to the quote but to the sentence that contains it, is not very scientific!"

It is much more scientific to say:

"Help!" screamed the drowning damsel.

Or at the least:

"Help", screamed the drowning damsel. A scientist should be precise. He should put, outside quote marks, punctuation not part of the quote. And yet the publishing world favors false attribution of punctuation to people quoted.

I would think at least Bible students would sympathize with this point, especially when it is the Bible being quoted, and the Chicago Manual of Style would have punctuation falsely associated with words of Scripture. I understand Bible readers can get pretty touchy about changing words of Scripture.

However, it is not a great issue with me. I just write scientifically because, well, I am a "scientist".

# Part 3: CREATION

#### Chapter 10 The Beginning of Time

0 AT (After Time) The Beginning! Before, there was only God, and we swam in God. There was no "place" distinct from any other "place". Now God has made a "place" distinct from God's presence in general. He has named it "earth".

It was some new force from this huge, yet small, place which we felt drawing us down. We understood it to be named "Gravity". It seems somehow related to the frozen wave upon which the Host now travels.

God distinguished a second "place": "Heaven", where God's glory is strongest, and where we have our homes. Not that there was no Heaven before; but now it is a "place" distinct from this other "place".

This feat required the creation of a new substance called "matter". It is composed of particles which are not as versatile as Lovatons, but which had the capability, through their rearrangement and movement, of forming hundreds of distinct "elements", or of becoming "energy". (Although not pure spiritual energy, but just physical energy.)

Along with Space, God has begun Time! It is this powerful force which caused the Host to ripple, like the waves we now see covering this new place, Earth! In fact, now that we have had opportunity to observe its patterns, we see a relatively stable "warping" of the space around the Earth. When we have more leisure time, it will be great fun to just slide down its slopes, and then fly back up to slide down them again. However, for now God is keeping us very busy! We are working now in this new "Time", which requires of us that we complete our tasks "on time", "before we run out of time", and "quickly".

What strange, new, wonderful concepts! I wondered if this "Time" was part of God's plan to fill Heaven with souls who would never choose to leave?

In respect to this invention, (Time), which offers a wonderful application in the orderly arrangement of diary entries, I have gone back through previous diary entries and marked them "BT", for "Before Time", to distinguish them from what is to come.

God made matter, space, energy, and gravity out of His Breath. In that way He guaranteed perfect balance between variety and stability. God's cycles of breathing became the model for all matter and all systems created with it. As breaths are exhaled, then inhaled, endlessly, even so electrons orbit around nuclei, sound spreads in waves, waters circulate around the Earth.

What glory! What beauty!

God's Breaths proceed, one after another, forever, with almost no variation in their elements but much beauty and variety in their configurations from one to the next. In the same way, the waters comprising this beautiful planet vary little in their elements, but display so much variety and beauty in their ever-changing configurations, as gravity compresses them, that we cannot help but just stare at them continually, praising God in wonder!

VerseScout: Ecclesiastes 1:2-11 Vanity of vanities, saith the Preacher, vanity of vanities; all is vanity. [Heb: "Breath of Breaths, all is Breath."] 3 What profit hath a man of all his labour which he taketh under the sun? 4 One generation passeth away, and another generation cometh: but the earth abideth for ever. 5 The sun also ariseth, and the sun goeth down, and hasteth to his place where he arose. 6 The wind goeth toward the south, and turneth about unto the north; it whirleth about continually, and the wind returneth again according to his circuits. 7 All the rivers run into the sea; yet the sea is not full; unto the place from whence the rivers come, thither they return again. 8 All things are full of labour; man cannot utter it: the eye is not satisfied with seeing, nor the ear filled with hearing. 9 The thing that hath been, it is that which shall be; and that which is done is that which shall be done: and there is no new thing under the sun. 10 Is there any thing whereof it may be said, See, this is new? it hath been already of old time, which was before us. 11 There is no remembrance of former things; neither shall there be any remembrance of things that are to come with those that shall come after.

VerseScout Relevance Report: The Hebrew word But the verse does not mean God is vanity, or breath: in ordinary speech, God is the understood exception to the phrase "all is\_\_\_\_\_." For example, "all is lost" does not mean God is lost. When the Second Law of Thermodynamics states that "all" systems degenerate from the complex to the simple, the understood exception is God. "All", like "everything", is ordinarily understood to mean "all things", by which we understand "all CREATED things", which we know does not include the Creator.

Hebel means virtually the same as the Greek word "pneuma" which KJV translates "spirit" in the New Testament. Verse 3 is not some rhetorical question to which the presumed answer is "life is meaningless", but rather it frames the question which the entire book answers. It is like a book title or subtitle. It introduces the topic of the book. Verses 4-11 describe the cycles of nature, which are like the oscillation of breath. (See Appendix G for "What Matter is Made Of" (Ecclesiastes)", a study of key words in Ecclesiastes, and a positive perspective of its themes.)

God made matter by separating His Breath from His Holy Spirit. He provided that the matter formed from His Breath would sustain itself by feeding upon His Holy Spirit.

*VerseScout*: *Ecclesiastes 1:2 Vanity* [Hebrew: "breath"] *of vanities* [breaths], *saith the Preacher, vanity of vanities; all is vanity* [breath of breaths, all is breath]. *...14 I have seen all the works that are done under the sun; and, behold, all is vanity* [breath] *and vexation* [Heb: r@uwth: grazes upon, or is nourished by] *of spirit* [Heb: ruwach: Heaven].

Romans 8:20 For the creature [creation] was made subject to vanity [without profit], not willingly, but by reason of him who hath subjected the same in hope,

**VerseScout Relevance Report**: "Vanity" is the KJV translation of the Hebrew word  $\neg \Box \Box$  (hebel) for Breath, which has nearly the same meaning as the New Testament Greek "Pneuma" which KJV translates "spirit" and "wind", one of whose definitions is "breath". "Vexation" is the KJV translation of the Hebrew word  $\Box \Box \Box \Box$  (reuth) for "grazing upon", or "deriving sustenance from". (For more detail, see Appendix G.)

Day one – At the beginning of this day, God created "Heaven". It is a vast "space" made out of God's

Breath, through which we can see clearly and easily, from one end to the other.

In the center of this vast space, God has created a tiny ball of solid water. It is rotating in relation to the space.

The Spirit of God is moving across the rotating watery Earth, making the final adjustments on His next move.

VerseScout: Genesis 1:1 In the beginning God created the heaven and the earth. 2 And the earth was without form, and void; and darkness was upon **the face of the deep**. And the Spirit of God moved upon the face of the waters. 2 Peter 3:5 For this they willingly are ignorant of, that by the word of God the heavens were of old, and **the earth** 

standing [Gr. **"brought into existence"**] out of the water and in [Gr. "through (the channel of the act)"] the water: (Young's Literal Translation: "...the heavens were of old, and the earth out of water and through water standing together by the word of God,")

VerseScout Relevance Report: "The deep" translates the Hebrew t@howm (teh-home') which Strong's defines as "an abyss (as a surging mass of water), especially the deep (the main sea or the subterranean water-supply)."

The New Brown-Driver-Briggs-Gesanius Hebrew and English Lexicon, 1979, defines the word as "deep, sea, abyss...primaeval ocean...depth."

No water can be "deeper" than a sphere of solid water, which has no "bottom", but only a center consisting of more water.

But could the Earth have been formed out of a solid ball of water? That is what 2 Peter 3:5 clearly says, according to Albert Barnes Commentary of the New Testament, published in 1868. Barnes wrote before Einstein, before knowledge of fusion could explain how water could produce all the elements of our planet. Barnes had nothing to guide him but the plain meaning of the Greek. He even betrays difficulty believing the earth could originally have been just plain, ordinary water, but he has the courage and honesty to insist the Bible really does set forth so strange and controversial a notion, although to defuse some of the strangeness he suggests the word might have been loosely used to refer to "mingled elements" which was only "called water", and which only included water. But he admits even this feint (dodge) avoids the plain, if controversial, meaning of the Bible, because the Greek words "called" it only "water". He furthermore understands, from this verse, that not just the Earth, but "all things were formed" out

of water! Here is his analysis:

And the earth standing out of the water and in the water. Marg., consisting. Gr.,  $\sigma \upsilon v \varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \sigma \alpha$ . The Greek word, when used in an intransitive sense, means to stand with, or together; then tropically, to place together, to **constitute**, place, **bring into existence**. --Robinson.

....The thought in his mind seems to have been, that water entered materially into the formation of the earth, and that in its very origin there existed the means by which it was afterwards destroyed. The word which is rendered "standing" should rather be rendered consisting of, or constituted of; and the meaning is, that the creation of the earth was the result of the Divine agency acting on the mass of elements which in Genesis is called waters, Ge 1:2,6,7,9. There was at first a vast fluid, an immense unformed collection of materials, called waters, and from that the earth arose. The point of time, therefore, in which Peter looks at the earth here, is not when the mountains, and continents, and islands, seem to be standing partly out of the water and partly in the water, but when there was a

#### vast mass of materials called waters from which the earth was formed. The phrase "out of the water" ( $\epsilon\xi \ \upsilon\delta\alpha\tau\sigma\omega$ ) refers to the origin of the earth. It was

formed from, or out of, that mass. The phrase "in the water"  $(d \Box \Box d \Box t \Box v)$  more properly means through or by. It does not mean that the earth stood in the water in the sense that it was partly submerged; but it means not only that the earth arose from that mass that is called water in Ge 1:1-31, but that that mass called water was in fact the grand material out of which the earth was formed. It was through or by means of that vast mass of mingled elements that the earth was made as it was. Everything arose out of that chaotic mass; through that, or by means of that, all things were formed, and from the fact that the earth was thus formed out of the water, or that water entered so essentially into its formation, there existed causes which ultimately resulted in the deluge. The scenario of Earth originating as a mass of water has been revived by "Starlight and Time", by Dr. Russell Humphreys, Ph.D. pub. Master Books, 1994. Humphreys is a professor of General Relativity, who saw the same scenario that Barnes did in 2 Peter 3:5, and tested it by the equations of General Relativity. His book is written for both scientists, Bible scholars, and laymen. By unaccountable coincidence, Justinius described the same scenario 6,000 years ago! (However, that space of time may have been warped.)

In describing the atomic processes which the following account says produced all the elements we know today, out of pure water, Humphreys analyzes the word "standing" (KJV) in 2 Peter 3:5: "... $\sigma UVE \sigma t \omega \sigma \alpha$ ...'to set in the same place, to bring or band together.' This is an excellent choice of words to describe thermonuclear fusion reactions which, under powerful gravity, would naturally form the many elements."

The space near and inside the Earth was seriously warped! It was amazing to observe the effect of this warping on the speed of physical processes, like electrons rotating around their nuclei.

I did a simple experiment. I stood in the very center of the Earth, and had Rostufus stand at the very edge of the space. I counted the orbits of Hydrogen electrons around their nuclei out loud to Rostufus, while he counted them out loud to me. He did all the work! Rostufus counted several billion orbits before the electron I was counting made it around its nucleus once!

(We chose the electron orbits of Hydrogen rather than Oxygen, because one of those little things whizzing around such a tiny space at 1,300 miles an hour is dizzying enough to count, without having to keep track of eight!)

We repeated the experiment at many points between, and confirmed that time travels slower, the closer one is to the center.

In other words, the closer one is to a large mass, (it seemed much larger when I was watching it from its center), the slower time crawls. Two trillion times slower, here at The Beginning!

VerseScout: Daniel 2:21 And he changeth the times [Heb. a set time; technically, a year] and the seasons: he removeth kings, and setteth up kings: he giveth wisdom unto the wise, and knowledge to them that know understanding:

*VerseScout Relevance Report:* There have been various theories that the changing of the length of a year (the number of rotations of the Earth during one orbit around the Sun) has been altered in the past.

The Defender's Bible speculates that the Earth suffered so much turbulence during Noah's Flood that its rotation might have been sped up, from 360 days to our present  $365-1/4_{th}$ . Such a change would account for shorter calendars used by past civilizations, without having to assume their calendars were simply inaccurate.

But twice, God has actually disrupted the rotation of the Earth far more dramatically, yet without changing the number of days in the year. The first time was the day the Earth stood still an extra day, Joshua 10:12-14! The second was the day the Earth rotated backwards before resuming its forward motion, 2 Kings 20:9-11! What a testament, not only to the power of God but to His love, that He would perform the unimaginable miracle of entirely

disrupting the earth's rotation, and then follow it with the even more unimaginable miracle of restoring it exactly as it was so that humans would not have the inconvenience of having to calculate new calendars!

If there is no Biblical evidence that the length of a year ever changed, then what might Daniel have been thinking of when he wrote "he changeth the times"? Could Daniel 2:21 refer to the actual distortion of time itself?

(The probability that Daniel himself could not have understood such a concept does not prove God could not have meant it. Even human bosses dictate, to their secretaries, details they may not fully understand. How much more God? Daniel 10, especially v. 1 and 12, describes how Daniel didn't eat for 3 weeks, in his desperation to understand what God had told him. On the other hand, the chapter describes how Daniel was so determined to understand what God had dictated to him, that he prayed and fasted half way to starvation until God sent, not just any angel but

an archangel, to explain it to him! So even though no one else in Daniel's time could have understood nuclear fission, Daniel had inside information.)

God has created a universe in which time itself really does change, every day, in relation to gravity. An atomic clock at Boulder, Colorado, one mile above sea level, runs five microseconds (millionths of a second) faster per year than an atomic clock at Greenwich, England, a few feet above sea level.

Many measurements have confirmed the distortion of time by gravity, including measuring how long it takes radar waves, aimed at Venus, to bounce back to Earth, when Venus is just on the other side of the Sun so that the radar waves have to travel very close to the Sun. The powerful gravity close to the Sun really does make the radar waves slower!

(Does that mean if you are driving past a mountain, and you get clocked by radar, you are more likely to get a speeding ticket? Don't panic. If the radar unit is the same distance from the mountain, it would operate more slowly too. If it were far enough away to make a difference, the policeman would be too far away to catch you. Now if the policeman set up his speed trap on the moon, and clocked you while you were passing a mountain, there might

be a difference great enough to detect on a sensitive radar unit. But in that setup, he would never catch you.)

Today, significant time distortion occurs only in such places as inside stars or black holes. The universe is so spread out, that the gravity of distant stars has negligible effect on us. Assuming we are at the center of the universe, time for us is only 2% slower than at the outer edge.

But if the universe was once far smaller, which both the Bible and Relativity calculations say was the case, then time distortion would have been significant, the way it is today only near stars and black holes.

The scientific evidence includes the red shift of distant stars, indicating that the space between us and them has stretched, and that the stars are speeding away from us at or near the speed of light.

General Relativity supports the Big Bang scenario of the entire universe expanding out of a single location. Unfortunately, Bible-mocking Big Bang evolutionists assume an additional detail upon which General Relativity is neutral: that the universe has no center or edge. That's right: they assume the universe, which is still expanding in all directions from a single point, has no center or outside edge!

This assumption may not find support in any observed fact, mathematical need, or common sense, but it solves the problem an evolutionist would have if he had to admit that in the Biblical scenario of Earth at the center of a very compact universe, billions of years would pass at its outside edge while only one day passes on the Earth. This admission would stop them from mocking the Word of God with their taunt, "How could starlight, from stars 20 billion light years away, reach the earth in less than 6,000 years?"

The Biblical evidence that the universe has expanded includes at least 16 verses which say that is exactly what God has done, and still does: (Dr. Humphreys' book points out many of these verses) 2 Samuel 22:10 "...he bowed the heavens...", Job 9:8 "...spreadeth out the heavens...", 26:7 "He stretcheth out the North [Heb: "the hidden"] over the empty place ["desolation", a good word choice for space] and hangeth the earth upon nothing", Job 37:18 "...spread out the sky, which is strong [fastened]...", Psalm 18:9, "...bowed the heavens...", Psalm 104:2 "stretchest out the heavens like a curtain...", Psalm 144:5 "Bow thy heavens...", Isaiah 40:22 "stretcheth out the heavens as a curtain, and spreadeth them out **as a tent to dwell in**", (the universe began rolled up into a tight ball like a rolled up tent; it had to be unrolled before life would dwell in it), Isaiah 42:5 "...he that created the heavens, and stretched them out; he that spread forth the earth by myself;", Isaiah 45:12 "...stretched out the Heavens...", Isaiah 51:13 "...stretched forth the heavens alone; that spreadeth abroad the heavens...", Jeremiah 51:15 "...stretched out the heavens...", Isaiah 12:1 "...stretcheth forth the heavens...".

Hebrew words used in the preceding verses: natah, "extend, stretch out, spread out". Matach, "spread out". Taphach, "spread out, extend". Raqa, "stamp, spread out; expand by pounding".

Of course, Rostufus and I are one with God, who is more infinite than mass can ever get, so we were outside the five dimensions of time and space anyway. Otherwise we couldn't have done the experiment.

**VerseScout**: Isaiah 34:4 And all the host of heaven shall be dissolved, and **the heavens shall be rolled together as a scroll**: and **all** their host shall fall down, as the leaf falleth off from the vine, and as a falling fig from the fig tree. (Compare with Isaiah 40:22, God unrolled the universe like unrolling a tent to set it up for living in.)

Revelation 6:14 And the heaven departed as a scroll when it is rolled together; and every mountain and island were moved out of their places.

Matthew 24:21 For then shall be great tribulation, such as was not **since the beginning of the world to this time**, no, nor ever shall be.

VerseScout Relevance Report: Five dimensions? The three dimensions of space, as we know it, are commonly called height, width, and length. But the physical heavens can be rolled up like a roll of paper. This means there must be some fourth spatial dimension, not counting time, wherein the three-dimensional space we know about is thin. Although we as yet have no perception, observation, or experience of such a dimension, general relativity calculations deal with it comfortably. (p. 67, 95, "Starlight and Time", by Dr. Russell Humphreys, Ph.D. pub. Master Books, 1994.)

Science encourages Bible believers to take these passages literally, since science, while unable to add much to our understanding of this additional dimension, is adamant that it exists. Albert Barnes, writing in 1868, though normally faithful to every "jot and tittle" of the Bible, wrote of the rolling up of the Heavens, "it never can literally occur." He takes the turmoil as symbolic of mere political upheaval.

But what if God literally means what He says? What if literally "ALL the host of heaven" (all the stars) "shall be dissolved" and "shall fall down"? Does that describe the collapse of the universe into a massive Black Hole? (The traditional interpretation is that a few mere "falling stars" or comets are described!) Whether that is the correct interpretation of God's plans or not, we should not say of what God has written "it never can literally occur." What God did once, in two days, He probably remembers how to do again!

If the literal interpretation is the correct one, it would certainly explain why Jesus calls those future days worse even than the days of Noah's Flood!

We found orbit counting a practical way to compare time's varying rates under varying gravity conditions. Since gravity is exactly proportional to the speed of time, this standard allows us to compare the rates of time and gravity at several locations. We used Earth Surface Time (EST) as a standard.

I should say, we tried to use EST as a standard. Almost immediately we found it was slowing down, as Earth's diameter began shrinking, its waters compressed by its enormous gravity. Although we had to continually recalculate EST, it remained a useful standard.

(Fourth Day Note: After the Earth was made so much smaller, by stripping it of the rest of the universe, I have come back to earlier diary entries to record comparisons. The gravity on the Earth's surface on the first day was 300,000,000,000,000,000 times stronger than the Earth's surface gravity on the fourth day. I have decided these smaller numbers will make this Diary easier to read than the charts we recorded, on the First Day, of hydrogen electron orbit counts.)

The more the Earth collapsed, the more the pressures increased. The molecules of water in the center, which were under the most pressure, were the first to change. The simple arrangement of two hydrogen atoms and one oxygen atom, in each water molecule, broke apart and became ionized.

The next thing to change was the tearing apart of nuclei into neutrons and protons. We saw that the way things were moving, the next result of greater pressures would be the tearing apart of basic particles, creating a dense plasma of gluons and quarks, the particles of atoms nearly touching each other, in contrast with the vast space that separated them when the water

was at its original temperature and condition.

(Fourth Day Note: when not compressed unnaturally by gravity, atoms have so little matter in them that if an atom were as big as a tree, its matter would only be about the size of an acorn, including its nucleus in its center, and its whizzing electrons. And the empty space between atoms is even greater.

(VerseScout Plagarism Alert: When you read scientific words and concepts woven into this story which the translator probably has never heard of and still cannot comprehend, they were probably borrowed from *"Starlight and Time"*, by Dr. Russell Humphreys, Ph.D. pub. Master Books, 1994. Dr. Humphreys assumes no responsibility for the result.)

As this process began, God smiled and told us He was going to create something wonderful! Then he looked back down at the receding waters, and commanded, "Let There Be Light!"

God's Word produced a change in the atomic structure of the water which, encouraged by the spiraling pressures, caused many of the atoms to explode with great force, sending out rays of a new kind of heat and light!

Unlike the light from God's Face, this new light is made from certain water particles, and it interacts with certain other water particles. But its energy is not infinite, like the light from God's Face, so whenever a photon interacts with a particle, its journey ends – or it bounces away, in another direction.

Therefore, the more dense the material which light strikes, the more quickly all the light is stopped. In other words, on the other side of dense material, this new light cannot reach, leaving

dark shadow. How unlike the light from God's Face, which instantly reaches every atom, and causes it to shine

- not just to reflect light, but to itself become a source of energy rays!

VerseScout: Revelation 1:13 ... the Son of man,... 14 ... his eyes were as a flame of fire; .... 16 ... and his countenance was as the sun shineth in his strength.

Revelation 21:23 And the city had no need of the sun, neither of the moon, to shine in it: for the glory of God did lighten it, and the Lamb is the light thereof. ...25 ... there shall be no night there.

Genesis 1:3 And God said, Let there be light: and there was light. 4 And God saw the light, that it was good: and God divided the light from the darkness. 5 And God called the light Day, and the darkness he called Night. And the evening and the morning were the first day.

**VerseScout Relevance Report:** The sun was not created until the fourth day, yet light was created the first. If the source of the first day's light was not the sun, what other possible source of light could there have been?

Theory One: After the sun goes out, light will be provided by The Lamb. Why not, also, before the sun was created? This same Lamb created the sun, John 1:1-5, Hebrews 1:2, Psalms 136:5, Proverbs 3:19, so He certainly understood how to shine.

Problem: the light of the Lamb, after the sun dies, will never go out, as contrasted with the light of the First Three Days, which was broken by dark nights. If the light of the Lamb is the source of light, what could account for darkness? Does God's Face turn on and off? Maybe He gets tired and rests, and half closes His eyes, and that makes the light go out?

Theory Two: Although the Light from God's Face surely shone for the angels before God created the Heavens and the Earth – surely angels were not blind – perhaps that light is not normally visible to physical eyes made of matter. Therefore Genesis was concerned with the new light source which humans would need. Although the sun wasn't created until the fourth day, light of similar quality would have existed from the first day, intermittently, if indeed the universe began as a giant ball of water.

All matter emits energy. We do not call most of it "light", because it is not in the part of the spectrum which is visible to human eyes, or it is not bright enough for our eyes. But perhaps with angels' eyes we could see it.

This new light is slow! I can almost walk that fast! I wonder if it is fast enough to ever reach from one side of the Earth to the other? It is going to have to hustle, to make it while there still is the other side, the way the Earth is collapsing, faster than light! As the Earth collapses, its rotation increases proportionately.

(Fourth Day Note: In the Beginning, the diameter of the Earth was two Light Years. About 12,000,000,000 miles. It would have taken six years for light to circle the globe once. By contrast, the Earth, after the rest of the universe was stripped away from it, shrank to a scant 8,000 miles in diameter, around which light can circle almost 8 times in one second.)

Light began first in the very center, and steadily spread outwards, as pressures further out reached the pressures which had triggered this process. One byproduct of this process was hundreds of new elements besides water!

By the time the Earth had completed about  $1/4_{th}$  of a complete revolution since its initial creation, the light reached the surface! What a glorious display! It blazed a long time! Of course, time itself took a long time!

(Fourth Day Note: as measured by EST – Earth Standard Time, it took the Earth the same time to complete one revolution, on the First Day, as it did after the Fourth Day. Except that after the Fourth Day its speed of rotation was constant, but on the First Day it began slowly and gained speed as it compressed.)

The gravity of Earth was so strong, that the light could not travel very far away from it before gravity pulled the photons back to crash again into the Earth. We had to be within that distance to see it at all. But when we swam on the surface, we could see the light coming up through the water beneath us, in every color, as well as the photons raining down on us from up above. What a show! It gave the illusion of a sky which was one giant fireball, hovering over an ocean which actually was atop one giant fireball!

Because Earth was dark from a distance, and because of the way its gravity warped space, we dubbed it a "Black Hole".

**The Light Horizon.** At one point we took a walk to measure how far the farthest photons were traveling before arcing back.

(Fourth Day Note: the calculation came out to 450 million light years.)

As we strolled right up to the line from outside, and stepped over it a ways, continuing our conversation with angels at the edge of space, a surprising phenomenon became apparent to us.

Our friends at the edge told us that as we approached the line, it looked like we had completely stopped, and that time around us had completely stopped, too! As we got to the line, they said we looked to them as if we had simply turned red and disappeared!

We, conversely, told them they looked as if time for them was racing towards infinite speeds, the closer we got to the line! But then after we crossed the line and looked back, it looked to us as if time, for them, was going backwards at infinite speeds! As we walked farther inside the line, their time appeared to slow down to almost the same speed as our own, but still in reverse!

It was so much fun, that most of the Host, when we were on break, took their turns racing, walking, or jumping across the line, while friends watched from the edge of space and the center of the Earth.

What good times! Such laughter and frolicking, against so beautiful a backdrop! An experience to remember!

God watched us enjoying the Light, and the other wonders that attended it. He turned to the Light, enjoyed it for a long time, and said "It is good!"

At least Hell's angels allow God the credit for light. But they say it is evil, and God did it only to punish them! They say they loved the darkness, and God took from them the one thing they loved!

Actually they could hardly see it. God had moved Hell to the Outer Darkness. I'm not sure how they even knew about light. Apparently a few photons stuck to us while we were playing around, and fell off while we were checking up on them.

Light is really quite a remarkable invention. And complicated. The First Day was spent not just shining, but carefully helping infuse all matter with the Light it needed to hold together.

We found it miraculous, in any case, that matter was able to hold together! But while we were merely studying it and running errands, God was the one doing it.

VerseScout: John 1:4 In him was life; and the life was the light of men. ....9 That was the true Light, which lighteth every man that cometh into the world.

Colossians 1:17 And he is before all things, and by him all things consist.

As I reflected on these things, I just stopped and stared, for a long time, at God working. Sure, we already knew God was infinitely powerful and creative. But the more I comprehended of the majesty of this day's events, the more respect I had for infinity, and the more awe I had at the ease and grace with which God stretched it!

God can do all that, and yet He still has time for me? God is that awesome, and yet He still loves me? Meanwhile, the collapse was concentrating gravity so much, that light from the interior could no longer reach the surface. The Earth was dark again. Day Two had begun.

**Day two** – God had been working very hard! The accomplishments of that first day were spectacular! We sensed that what we were watching was not just some pretty ball of water. We anticipated that each tiny section of that water had a purpose beyond just being water. We saw what much of it had turned into already, and we were braced for more surprises.

After it had been dark a little while, God smiled at us, and said to the Earth, and to the Heavens around the Earth, "Let there be an expansion in the middle of the waters, dividing the waters below the expansion from the waters above the expansion."

*VerseScout*: *Genesis 1:6 And God said, Let there be a firmament* (Heb: properly, an expanse, i.e. the firmament or (apparently) visible arch of the sky) *in the midst of the waters, and let it divide the waters from the waters.* 

As God concluded His commandment, He lifted His finger off space, which then immediately expanded faster than I could throw a halo! The frontiers of space – of Heaven – exploded!

The effect on the Earth was that its contraction instantly reversed. Instead of matter being sucked in tighter by gravity, matter was being blown out by water pressure. All the "Black Hole" conditions of the first day reversed. Now we call it a "White Hole".

The water was expelled in three distinct layers.

First, the water at the surface, which had undergone no atomic transformation but remained water, spread out in an even layer surrounding the expanding mass. (The Outer Darkness remained beyond it.) We began calling it the Waters Above.

Second, the water around the middle of the Earth, which had become a fiery mass through the fusion of simple elements such as hydrogen and helium, expanded outwards into the new "expanse" between the waters above and the waters below. It did not all stay together in a single mass. Rather, it began breaking apart into gadzillions of individual fiery masses, at considerable distances from one another. We began calling it the Lower Heaven.

Third, the water at the center of the Earth, which had undergone the most transformation, into hundreds of new elements, (including a little water which had not been transformed), stayed at the center. It immediately cooled, as pressures subsided. We began calling it the Waters Below.

**VerseScout**: Genesis 1:7 And God made the firmament, and divided the waters which were under the firmament from the waters which were above the firmament: and it was so. 8 And **God called the firmament Heaven.** And the evening and the morning were the second day.

VerseScout Relevance Report: Notice that the firmament is called "Heaven". But on the First Day, the space above all the Waters was called Heaven.

The only way it would be possible for both "Heavens" to have occupied the same space would be if the "waters above" rose up through Heaven so that the same space which was above the waters on day one, was between the Waters Above and the Waters Below on day two.

This would be a reasonable assumption if that "space" were the Earth's atmosphere. Then the "waters below" would be oceans, and the "waters above" would be clouds.

But Genesis 1:14 says the sun, moon and stars are "in" the firmament. (The Hebrew agrees with the KJV.) Certainly the sun, moon and stars are not "in" the Earth's atmosphere!

Can we read "in" as "looked like they were in to cave men"? Or as "were actually above, but were visible through"? Not if we take the Word of God literally. However, if we theorize that the entire universe began as a ball of water,

whose interior was stretched, leaving the water in the center and the outside separated by the expanded Heaven, then we theorize that the sun and stars are literally "in" the firmament.

This cosmology (theory of how the cosmos began) of Justinius, really quite different than the cosmologies taught by newspaper scientists, finds agreement in "Starlight and Time", a book by General Relativity scientist D. Russell Humphreys, Ph.D. (1994, Master Books, Inc., PO Box 726, Green Forest, Arkansas, 72638. The book offers enough math to interest the most advanced mathematician, enough Scripture to interest the most serious Bible

scholar, and enough plain language to interest the most average reader.

Among the points of agreement between Humphreys and Justinius' are the size of the sphere of water necessary, the distortion of time during black hole or white hole conditions, and the distance, and time-warping properties, of what scientists call the "Event Horizon", and which Justinius calls the "Light Horizon". Of the Event Horizon's time-warping properties, Humphreys writes, "It appears to be another 'trade secret' of general relativity, unpublicized by the adepts, that black hole theory supports this astonishing possibility." (page 110.)

The waters were expelled with such force that even the powerful light of the clusters of water in fusion could only shine a short distance towards the center, before the photons arced back towards the outside. Light behaved as if gravity had gone into reverse, and now light could shine in only one direction – out! So the Waters Below, in the center, remained dark. We began reserving the name "Earth" for those waters in the center.

**The Light Horizon in Reverse.** The Light Horizon, the line beyond which light could not at first reach, 450 million light years from the Earth, began slowly moving towards the Earth as the Waters Above rushed out to meet it. Except that the properties of the line reversed. No longer was light from the Earth unable to shine past it. Just the opposite. We perceived that were there a light source outside the horizon, its light would not be able to shine towards the Earth past the horizon! Meanwhile, light was flooding outwards!

The farther the Waters Above soared away from the center of the Earth, the faster time, and light, traveled in their vicinity. The relationship between the speed of light and the speed of time remained constant: 186,000 miles per second. But two trillion seconds passed at the edge while only one passed in the center.

The Light Horizon remained the favorite playground of The Host while on break. Now, as I walk towards it, from inside, friends out at the edge can see me as clearly as when I am across it. But I

can't see them until I reach it. When I am across it, I can still see floods of light racing towards me from the Earth, and I can see that light reflected off my friends farther out.

As soon as I can see them, I see that time where they are is racing, millions of years to my minutes, but at least it is going the correct direction (forwards). As I approach them, their time appears to me to slow down, until it matches mine when I reach them.

But my friends tell me that from their perspective, while I was starting from inside the Light Horizon, time around me seemed to be going backwards, but slowed down as I neared the Horizon, until it completely stopped as I crossed it. Then my time seemed to them to reverse, resuming the correct direction, and to speed up until it finally reached normal speeds as I reached them!

We saw that as the clusters of fiery water rushed towards the Horizon, an observer in the center of the Earth (where time was still slow) would perceive them as racing at speeds far in excess of the speed of light, until they got close to the Light Horizon. Then they would slow down, and stop. As they crossed the Horizon, their time would begin racing again, but into the past! They would continue traveling billions of years into the past, until the Event Horizon, which would begin racing towards the center as the matter within its boundaries crossed it, reached the center and disappeared!

Back in the center, after several hours of darkness, the plasma cooled as pressures decreased, until atoms formed again. As clusters of fiery waters thinned out and separated, the expanse became transparent. At the same time, gravity lessened, allowing light to shine farther back towards the separating center.

Eventually the light from the fiery masses nearest the center was able to reach all the way back to the center. For the center, the Second Evening ended, and the Second Morning began.

It was glorious, to watch how dramatically, how quickly, parts of the center cooled, once the enormous pressure and gravity was reduced! It was glorious also, to see what interesting elements

had been formed from the pressurized waters, which were now cooling and taking on interesting shapes. Of all the displays, how beautiful were the colors! The black and ultra-violet of the expanding Waters Above, the reds, oranges, and yellows of the fiery clusters between the waters, and the

greens, blues, and browns reflected from the hardening minerals and cooling waters in the center!

After several hours, several of the fiery masses nearest the center, their gravity reduced to a fraction of their former pressure, cooled to the point where they stopped giving light. Some of the

departing masses farther away were still blazing, but the White Hole conditions were still too powerful for their light to reach all the way back to the center. Hence the second day came to an end,

and darkness enveloped the surface of the Waters Below again.

**VerseScout**: Genesis 1:6-8 (Creation of the Firmament –see earlier quotes)

Genesis 1:20 And God said, Let the waters bring forth...fowl that may fly above the earth **in the open firmament** of heaven.

Daniel 12:3 And they that be wise shall shine as **the brightness of the firmament**; and they that turn many to righteousness **as the stars** for ever and ever.

Psalms 19:1 The heavens declare the glory of God; and the firmament sheweth his handywork.

1 Kings 8:27 But will God indeed dwell on the earth? behold, the **heaven and heaven of heavens cannot contain thee;** how much less this house that I have builded?

Psalm 68:33 To him that rideth upon the heavens of heavens, which were of old; lo, he doth send out his voice, and that a mighty voice.

2 Peter 3:13 Nevertheless we, according to his promise, look for **new heavens and a new earth**, wherein dwelleth righteousness. (Also Isaiah 65:17, 66:22)

#### Psalm 148:4 Praise him, ye heavens of heavens, and ye waters that be above the heavens.

VerseScout Relevance Report: What was/is the "firmament"? An earlier VSSR has already presented some Biblical evidence that the "firmament" means interstellar space (the outer space between the stars). But the traditional view is that the "firmament" is earth's atmosphere: the waters below are the oceans, and the waters above are the clouds. Some even think it was a cloud canopy like on Venus that completely covers the sky and blocks out the stars.

But Psalm 19 says "The heavens declare the glory of God". Although air contains glorious clouds and storms, it is the sun, moon and stars which ordinarily inspire awe and reverence, impressing men with the majesty of God, not clouds.

The same verse says "the firmament sheweth his handiwork". Air is a marvel of creation, but on a clear day, nothing of it shows.

And Daniel 12:3 describes the firmament as "bright":

It is true that someone in the shade on a clear sunny day, who can still see the rest of the sky, will still receive ¼ as much sunlight that is just reflected off the atmosphere. But ¼ is so much less than 4/4, and a reflector of light is so much weaker than a source of light, that it would be very strange to describe air as "bright".

Notice how two similar things, "the wise" and "they that turn many to righteousness" are compared with two other things which must be just as similar: "the firmament" and "the stars". The fact that God promises a future "new heavens and a new earth" would seem redundant, if "heavens" were only the atmosphere: to say "a new earth" would already include the atmosphere, which is part of the earth. The addition of "new heavens" surely means something in addition to the new earth.

Isaiah 34:4 says after the heavens are rolled up as a scroll, "all their host shall fall down". If the Heavens are the atmosphere, does that mean the birds will fall down out of the sky? The parallel passage in Revelation 6:13 identifies the host not as birds, but as "stars".

The view of Justinius is, by an amazing coincidence, consistent with that of "Starlight and Time", by Humphreys, introduced in previous VSSR's. They both believe the "firmament" means interstellar space (the outer space between the stars). The Scriptural evidence given by Humphreys (which he compared with his General Relativity calculations) is similar to evidence given here.

The assumption that "firmament" means "Earth's atmosphere" is encouraged by several translations which join the KJV in making Genesis 1:20 say birds fly "in" the firmament. Obviously Humphreys cannot be correct about the "firmament" meaning outer space, if the birds can fly in it! But as Humphreys points out, the Hebrew says it a little differently: "and birds, let fly around over the earth **on the face of the expanse** of the Heavens."

The face of something is its outer, visible layer. If what the birds fly in is only the face of the firmament, then the entire firmament must be much larger, and on the other side of the "face" from the viewer. Birds fly up to 5 miles high, with 2/3 of the mass of the atmosphere beneath them: so the traditional interpretation of "firmament" as merely "air" would interpret the "face" as being larger than the whole "head".

"The face of" the expanse is the same idiom as "the face of" the waters, in Genesis 1:2. The waters are below their face, while the Heavens are above their face, which is consistent with the fact that faces can turn any direction. There are three Heavens, according to 2 Corinthians 12:2.

#### Relationship of "waters above" to the three heavens.

Psalm 148:4 "Praise him, **ye heavens of heavens, and ye waters that be above the heavens."** The Bible in Basic English says "Give praise to him, you highest heavens", "heaven of heavens" means the highest of the three heavens. The KJV is the more faithful to the original Hebrew meaning, but it is reasonable to assume the "heaven of heavens" means "the highest heaven".

The Family Bible commentary agrees that is the correct meaning. But would that mean all three Heavens are below the "waters that be above the heavens"? Justinius seems to think the Highest Heaven is outside, or above the "waters above". Could Justinius be wrong??!

Genesis 1:8 says "Heaven" is God's name for the interstellar space (the space between the stars) between the Earth and the "waters above". There is, apparently, additional empty space outside the "waters above", into which the universe continues to expand. That could be a second "heaven". There is apparently additional space outside "space". And finally, there is the Fourth (physical) Dimension (not counting Time).

What if the "waters above" shot all the way "up" into the Fourth Dimension? Or what if the "waters above" are at the

outer edge of the 3-dimensional universe today, while the Third or Highest Heaven is in the Fourth Dimension? In that case, could the "highest" or farthest-from-the-center thing in the 3 dimensions – the "waters above" – be said to be "above" the Fourth Dimension?

Or perhaps when David spoke of the "heaven of heavens", and assuming he meant "highest heaven", he meant to speak only of those heavens in three dimensional space in which "high" has a spatial meaning. Perhaps, therefore, the Third Heaven could be the "highest" of the three heavens in a spiritual sense, even though the "waters above" could be "above" all heavens with whom it shares a (3-dimensional) spatial relationship.

Unfortunately, we can only speculate, because Justinius does not say. However, do not despair! Our team is continuing its excavations in the Grand Canyon, on the lookout for more Angel Diaries.

"...God created the heaven and the earth...", Genesis 1, indicates all the space above the surface of the water was "Heaven". The Bible indicates the outer surface of the waters, in the beginning, remains the outer surface of the "waters above" to this day. So would the space outside those waters, called "Heaven" that First Day, remain where that particular Heaven is today: outside the "waters above" which are now at the edge of the universe? Even though, since then, the "expansion" that separated the waters below from the waters above became the only "heaven" which the Bible clearly locates? If so, then of those two Heavens, the Heaven created first was the one which is, today,

outside the universe. The Heaven created second was the one which holds the stars.

All three heavens are finite. None of them contain infinite space, because even "the heaven of heavens cannot contain thee". God "rides" on Heaven. The rider controls his vehicle, using it to reach His destination.

The Waters Above are a permanent fixture in our universe, as long as it lasts: Psalms 48:1-6, Malachi 3:10. The Bible appears to distinguish between "the heavens" and Heaven's contents, which the Bible appears to call "the host of Heaven". Although the Heavens are made "bright" by the Sun and stars, the space was called "heavens" before there were either. "The host of them", Genesis 2:1, Psalm 33:6, which will "be dissolved" and "fall down", Isaiah 34:4, presumably means the contents of space: the stars, etc., Revelation 6:13. In fact, Isaiah 34:4 says the Heavens will be rolled up after the stars are gone from them.

The Bible attributes, to space, two of the same properties that General Relativity does: space can be: **Bent.** 2 Samuel 22:10 He **bowed** the heavens also, and came down; and darkness was under his feet. (Also Psalm 18:9,

144:5.)

And space can be **Rolled up.** (Already discussed.)

Humphreys points out that the fact space can be bent proves there is a "direction" in which space can be bent. The following Biblical list of qualities of space are not directly suggested by Relativity, but they support Relativity's position that space is some kind of thing, rather than nothing.

Torn. Isaiah 64:1 "...thou wouldest rend the heavens .... "

Worn out. Heb 1:11 They shall perish; but thou remainest; and they all shall wax old as doth a garment; 12 And as a vesture shalt thou fold them up, and they shall be changed: but thou art the same, and thy years shall not fail.

Shaken. Heb 12:26 Whose voice then shook the earth: but now he hath promised, saying, Yet once more I shake not the earth only, but also heaven. (Also Haggai 2:6, Isaiah 13:13.)

Burned up. 2 Peter 3:10 But the day of the Lord will come as a thief in the night; in the which the heavens shall pass away with a great noise, and the elements shall melt with fervent heat, the earth also and the works that are therein shall be burned up.

Made solid. Space is made "firm".

Pro 8:28 "... he made firm the skies above ... "

Job 37:18 "...spread out the skies, strong as a molten (cast) mirror..."

**Thickness of the "Waters Above"** One detail of Dr. Humphrey's calculations that we should be aware of is the thickness of the "waters above" which the Bible says surrounds the universe to this day. Humphreys does not

publish the thickness, but based on his assumptions that a sphere of water one light year in diameter is sufficient to have produced all known matter, and that the sphere of water "at least" two light years in diameter (7.98 times as much water) is theorized to provide water not only for all known matter but also for the "waters above", and that the present diameter of the "waters above" is 40 billion light years, then if a film of water is evenly spread around the outside of the universe, it measures, today, about 0.000272 inches thick. That is about 1/10<sup>th</sup> the thickness of paper and human hairs. That does not seem thick enough to hold together.

However, there is no special reason to assume the "waters above" are an evenly spread film of water. Tradition has held for centuries that the "waters above" are the clouds in the sky, which are certainly not an evenly spread film of water. Maybe

the "waters above" are huge stellar clouds. And of course Humphreys said the primeval water was "at least" that massive. Perhaps it was more.

The fact that there is "at least" seven times as much mass at the outer surface of the universe, than there is spread throughout its interior, has zero effect on the slowing of time in the center from all the mass throughout the interior. Dr. Humphreys explains in a 6/28/05 email to me:

"...the "waters above" (no matter how massive) would have no effect on the relative time dilation between the center and edge of the cosmos inside the waters. A theorem found by Isaac Newton shows that a spherical shell of mass produces no gravitational force on objects within it [Principia Mathematica, Book I, Section XII, Proposition LXX, Theorem XXX]. (General Relativity has a similar theorem.)

"That means the "waters above" would only add a constant potential everywhere to whatever differences of potential would be produced by matter inside the waters. The percentage difference in clock rates between the center and edge of that interior matter (depending only on the difference of potential between those two points), would not be affected."

**Day Three** – By now we were all using the word "Earth" to mean the hard cool sphere at the center which God was concentrating on most.

God pulled up the ground at the bottom of the water on the earth until it stood, in places, a mile above the waters! An immense "continent" now covers about half the planet, while water covers the rest. Great oceans of water also flow beneath the dry ground.

**VerseScout:** Genesis 10:25 And unto Eber were born two sons: the name of one was Peleg; for in his days was the earth divided; and his brother's name was Joktan. ["Palag", in Hebrew, means "divide".]

VerseScout Relevance Report: Did Earth begin with a single continent, which was later divided? That's what evolutionists say. They point out how the west coast of Africa fits the east coast of South America like two puzzle pieces. (Of course they think that happened millions of years before the actual time the universe was created, and they can't imagine it happened during the lifetime of one man!)

Does Genesis 10:25 refer to the physical division of the continent, or only to the division of men into tribes speaking different languages, Genesis 11:8-9, as the Geneva Bible, Family Bible, Matthew Henry, and Answers in Genesis assumes? It is certainly easier to picture the Continent coming apart during the turbulence of Noah's Flood, than during the fourth generation after Shem.

Or perhaps Genesis 10:25 refers to both divisions occurring at the same time. After God separated men with languages, perhaps God separated the very ground upon which they stood to further divide the nations.

"The verb **pālaģ** is used in the Old Testament to describe division into languages." according to "The Bible Knowledge Commentary" by Walvoord & Zuck, 1985. We are left to guess that this might be a reference to Psalm 55:9, where "languages" is the direct object of "divide", or "palag".

*Psalm 55:9 Destroy, O Lord, and divide their tongues: [in this context, probably "disrupt their communication, or their military intelligence"] for I have seen violence and strife in the city.* 

But in Genesis 10:25 the direct object of "divide" is "earth", which all my Hebrew lexicons agree means geographical land.

Psalm 55:9 is characterized as "**confound**, confuse, formally, divide, i.e., to bewilder and dismay a communication and so cause astonishment and surprise" by Swanson's 1997 "Dictionary of Biblical Languages with Semantic Domains: Hebrew/Old Testament". Swanson says this is the only context of "palag" like this.

Swanson mentions another verse which means "**cut open**, i.e., form a linear depression of land by the massive flow of liquid", which is a pretty good description of dry land pushed down into ocean floors by the influx of seas. God is asking Job:

Job 38:25 Who hath divided a watercourse for the overflowing of waters, or a way for the lightning of thunder;

"The Message" translates "Who do you suppose carves canyons for the downpours of rain, and charts the route of thunderstorms"?

Swanson says there is no other verse where the context of palag is "the scattering of a people or groups into

other areas" other than Genesis 10:25 and 1 Chronicles 1:19, which only list "Peleg" as the man's name, which is only assumed by commentators to mean the scattering of people.

Concerning the meaning of the Hebrew word translated "earth", this is from Harris, R. L., Harris, R. L., Archer, G. L., & Waltke, B. K. (1999, c1980). Theological Wordbook of the Old Testament (electronic ed.) (074). Chicago: Moody Press:

ואָרָץ (seres) earth, land, city (-state), (under) world.

According to KB3 (p. 87), this word appears approximately 2400 times in the ot. More specifically, THAT I, p. 229, remarks that seres is the fourth most frequently used noun in the ot, appearing 2504 times in the Hebrew sections and 22 times in the Aramaic sections.

The first two meanings listed above ["earth, land"] are far and away the most crucial. That is, seres designates either (a) "the earth" in a cosmological sense, or (b) "the land" in the sense of a specific territorial designation, primarily the land of Israel.

In the former meaning, we are informed first (Gen 1:9–13) that God created the earth on the third day. All is done here by the divine fiat. The earth is not the product of a primordial substance, as is the case in the Babylonian Enuma Elish where the earth is formed from part of the cadaver of the fallen and slain deity Tiamat. It is a sphere that is totally under the control of divine sovereignty. The earth is the Lord's (Ps 24:1). He is its King (Ps 47:2 [H 3]), and its Lord (Ps 97:5). As such the world is good, and is not to be written off as intrinsically evil, the work of a demiurge. Absolutely no tinge of an "escapist mentality" is to be found in the ot. The reader of Scripture cannot but notice how relatively silent the ot is about the next life or another world. By contrast this is a dominating motif in other ancient near eastern literature. Can this be one of the Bible's ways of accentuating the goodness of the earth, the here and now?

Because the earth is the Lord's, it is answerable to him. As sin escalated, God determined to destroy the earth (Gen 9:11). But ultimately our righteous God is not happy with mere judgment, for this simply destroys the wicked. It uncreates. The ultimate expression of righteousness is neither discreativity nor turning the clock back. It is redemptive righteousness that is ultimate righteousness. This is why we have the rainbow covenant. God's intention is to establish a new heaven and a new earth (Isa 65:17; 66:22; Rev 21:1).

The second major use of seres is to designate a particular territory. Here the references to Palestine are of special significance. The boundaries of this new land, promised to Abraham and his seed, are first spelled out in Gen 15:18. It is of interest that this promise has been fulfilled geographically only two times, briefly during the period of David, and again during the time of the Hasmoneans during the intertestamental period.

Then God commanded a new form of life to emerge – a form of life composed of the new substances composed of those versatile particles. Grass, trees, flowers, and all manner of "plants" came into full bloom!

Perhaps a greater miracle than the initial appearance of mature plants out of nowhere is the existence, within them, of seeds which appear to contain, within a very small number of particles, intelligent design beyond the comprehension of an angel!

Within a tiny seed the size of a leaf stem is enough "intelligence" not only to grow into a great plant capable of holding many angels, but to teach that great plant how to interact with its environment with almost as much creativity as our own bodies!

Very humbling, I dare say!

But many of the seeds have a problem. While some seeds are capable of transporting themselves miles away from their mother plants, by wind, or sometimes by mere explosions from plants produced by changes in humidity, other seeds appear to not be provided with any means of

transportation, doomed to fall by their mother and grow in a choked clump, never to spread throughout the Continent.

The most beautiful of the plants, the flowers, appear to be doomed never to reproduce themselves! We can see the pollen God put in them, and how naturally it is designed to interact with

the stamen to reproduce itself. But God has not provided any means for the pollen to ever reach the stamen!

Another problem, or so it appeared, was that God had created these plants with a need for a steady, intense physical light, but no steady light was able to reach the Earth because of the White Hole conditions; and even if it could, it was not intense enough. Without such light, these plants seemed doomed to wither and die.

We inquired of God about this matter. He only smiled and said, "I'm not finished yet! Keep watching!"

No sooner had God answered, than the first light began to reach the Earth as the White Hole forces began to exhaust themselves. (The farther matter was expelled, the less intense was gravity at the center.) The Waters Above, rushing outwards from the center, had just passed the Light Horizon, and the Horizon began racing towards the center as fast as matter raced away.

We saw this and looked at God inquisitively. He just shook His head. But we appreciated the physical light which now reached the Earth. The plants were beautiful in it.

Soon the fiery waters from between the Waters Below and the Waters Above would be passing the Light Horizon, and time would be rushing backwards, outside the Horizon, at the rate of billions of years for a day on the Earth, for as long as the Earth remained within the White Hole.

God began moving cooled, dense masses in the vicinity of the Earth. Their placement between the Earth and the departing, light-giving fiery waters, cast the Earth in the darkness of shadows again, ending the Third Day. The Evening of the Fourth Day began.

**Day Four** – God began separating the dark masses around the Earth into other planets, and into one relatively large mass in the center of all of them. Had its mass been in the form of a gas, its pressure would have been too great for its gravity to pull it together into a tight ball. But because it was water, once it was set in place, it began compacting under its own gravity to gradually requires the pressure of light and hast preducing fusion.

resume the process of light and heat-producing fusion.

As it was just beginning, the Light Horizon reached the surface of the earth, dashed to the center, and disappeared. Several angels, trying to squeeze in one last Horizon Crossing, following it from opposite directions, crashed into each other as it ended. Our laughter was interrupted when someone pointed up with astonishment.

Pinpricks of light from 14 gadzillion stars showered the young planet Earth! What glory! What variety! What color!

Yes, "stars" now seemed the right word for those exploding fiery waters of the past 3 days. The word suggests greatness – majesty – beauty – the very best!

We saw great clusters of stars – the galaxies. The milky transparent gasses – the nebulas. Every imaginable shape and size! Until this point, the Light Horizon had made it impossible for their light to reach the Earth. It had also helped define the "time warp" that separated the Earth from the outermost stars.

We watched the light traveling from the stars farthest out, and those nearest, and marveled at God's intelligent design. We saw that the light just now arriving on the Earth had begun its journey 20 billion years ago! And that the events we can see now at the outer edge, by the instantaneous light of God's Face, will not arrive on the Earth for another 20 billion years!

All in a day's work, for God!

We had been watching the Earth so much, that we hadn't been paying attention to the wonders God had been accomplishing during those 20 billion years of galaxy formation. Pretty sophisticated! Real class!

I had to get used to the fact that side by side with light first arriving from 20 billion years ago, was light just first arriving from a star only 4 light years away. But of course it was because of the Light Horizon. As the Horizon passed the farthest star, the light of the farthest star followed the Horizon right to the Earth.

Light couldn't have gone that fast, had gravity, along the light's path, been constant. But when the Horizon was half way between the two stars, for example, the gravity upon the farthest star was so much weaker that 10 billion years had already passed, so the light had plenty of time to travel that distance.

I still struggle with the concept of time racing backwards. But logic requires me to admit something like

this must happen, if I accept the fact that differing gravity causes the speed of time to vary, and the fact that it is possible for angels under differing gravity to communicate.

Example: From the Earth, I call Threemsha, who is working on a star where electrons orbit three times as often as on Earth.

He says "hello" in what, for both of us, is the present. I am on the phone for an hour, while he is on the phone for three hours. Then we say "good-bye" in what, for both of us, is the present. Somehow one of his hours has to go backwards, in order for us to both be in the "present" after his three

hours and my one hour. Part of the answer must be that Threemsha hours are not the same as Earth hours: one Earth hour equals three Threemsha hours. But his are real hours. During the conversation, he talked three times as fast, and thought three times as fast.

It was the same with the billions of years of Stellar growth to one Earth day. If time didn't really travel backwards, then in some other way, our equations must take into account that electrons at the edge of the universe orbit 7 trillion times while electrons on the Earth orbit once, and light at the edge travels 7 trillion times as far as light on the Earth, during the same period of EST (Earth Surface Time).

My mind was stretched to its limits just trying to grasp all these miracles, let alone aspire to create even one single tiny electron! As my head swam with all this information, I could not help gazing at God, tears clouding my view, and asking,

"How can One so great, love one so insignificant?"

"More intelligence went into the construction of a single water molecule, than all the angels of Heaven will ever possess! And yet you allow me to make my home with You?

"Thank you, my God! I worship you! You are worthy to receive more honor and praise than I have the intelligence to offer!"

**VerseScout**: Revelation 5:11 And I beheld, and I heard the voice of many angels round about the throne and the beasts and the elders: and the number of them was ten thousand times ten thousand, and thousands of thousands; 12 Saying with a loud voice, Worthy is the Lamb that was slain to receive power, and riches, and wisdom, and strength, and honour, and glory, and blessing. 13 And every creature which is in heaven, and on the earth, and under the earth, and such as are in the sea, and all that are in them, heard I saying, Blessing, and honour, and glory, and

power, be unto him that sitteth upon the throne, and unto the Lamb for ever and ever. 14 And the four beasts said, Amen. And the four and twenty elders fell down and worshipped him that liveth for ever and ever.

Soon the largest mass of waters near the Earth was producing a wonderful warm light. We called it the "Sun". It was to the Earth as the face of God was to us.

The Sun was up and running. But only the ocean side of the Earth had witnessed the Sun's birth. Soon the Earth's rotation would allow the Sun to shine upon the land. From this point on,

the Earth's rotation would determine the boundaries of Evening and Morning.

It takes 8 minutes for light to reach the Earth from the Sun, and over a second to reach the Earth from the moon! That's as slow as a paralyzed tree! Why, if we showed up for work that late, we would be fired!

The sun bathes the earth with a warm, strong light that seems to be just what the plants were designed to feed upon. All the plants have perked up, and are thriving. That answers part of yesterday's question.

At night, the moon, rotating around the earth, reflected a fraction of the sun's light back to the dark side of the earth, providing a cool, restful, lovely light.

## Chapter 11 Evolutionists from Hell

As God stretched out the boundaries of the universe in all directions from the earth at the speed of light,

Hell was pushed with them, remaining beyond the borders, in the Outer Darkness.

Of course, our communication with them was still instantaneous.

They told us, "What do you mean, God 'created' plants only yesterday? Why, they have taken a billion years to evolve!"

Sarcamson shot back, "Do you measure one year as one orbit of the Earth around the Sun, or do you measure it by the number of orbits that I roll my eyes, like right now as a result of your talking? Or maybe the dizzying orbits of your brain cells?"

We corrected him. "Sarcamson, you have to be more considerate. Can't you see they're living in a time warp? They're so far away from God, that a day of watching God at work probably is eternity to them."

Sarcamson apologized to them. But the shallowness of his repentance became evident when they explained how God had not created the sphere of water out of which came the universe, but that it had sprung by itself out of a single point.

That's right: they say God didn't do any of this at all, but it all appeared on its own! To our incredulous requests of them to develop their scenario, they said the universe had been compacted

within a tiny infinitesimally small point since God was a boy, held in its compacted state by tremendous forces of gravity! But finally it exploded, as a result of "forces we do not yet understand", into the universe we see today! God had nothing to do with it, they say! All God did was take the credit!

Sarcamson asked them exactly where this point was located, so that we might watch it to see what surprises might pop out next.

"We don't understand that, either", they explained.

"Why doesn't that surprise me?" he inquired. To our astonishment that they could persuade themselves the entire universe could squeeze into a point, they said, "Well, it's even easier to understand how the entire universe could be squeezed into a point, than something smaller, since there is so much more gravity in it to do the squeezing!"

Oh, well.

They have imaginative explanations for the formation of celestial bodies out of all this "globby soup". They claim, for example, that stars formed from vast clouds of hydrogen when the gravitational pull of the hydrogen overcame the gas pressure of the hydrogen, and the clouds collapsed into high density bodies. It doesn't bother them that there is no way the gravity of a cloud of hydrogen could overcome its gas pressure, without being compacted by God. Not to mention the fact that we watched God make those stars out of water, and they did too. Miracles occurred right before their eyes – so they closed their eyes and dreamed! But that's what hell seems to be all about.

My friend Gandal stopped by. He had been hanging around Hell. I asked him the latest on the age of plants. "300 million years, 2 hours, and 3 minutes."

"How did they come up with so precise a figure?" I asked.

He said, "Well, they told me the plants were 300 million years old, and that was 2 hours and 3 minutes ago."

Well, as I said, the farther one recedes from God, the faster time travels, but this is ridiculous! I mean, it is one thing to be infinitely restless, completely without peace, so that seconds seem like ages. It's another thing to miscount the orbits of the Earth as having been billions, when it has not been one!

And that's another thing. they are telling each other that the creation of the universe, which took place on the fourth day, supposedly took place over the past 15 billion years! That is, during the past 25 billion orbits of the earth around its sun!

We're talking now the end of the fourth day, when the earth has not quite completed 1/365th of its orbit around its new Sun, and they actually allege 12 billion orbits have already been completed!

If you're wondering why the number of billions changes every time it comes up, ask them! They're not our figures! If they can come up with an explanation why they can't repeat the same preposterous figure twice in a row, let me know what it is.

I'm not sure how I feel about the tone of my writing just now. Maybe I've been around Sarcamson too long.

But it is amazing to listen to their favorite "experts" pontificate on the age of the universe. One will offer his "documented proof" that it is 17 billion years old. All will agree. A minute later another will prove it is 7 billion years old. They will all agree that the earlier figure has been changed, and thus made even more accurate. The next will say it is 30 billion years old, and the rest will be so grateful to now have the truth. But let someone step forward with some of the irrefutable evidence that it has been four days, and they will say that disagreeing with them is not "scientific", but "is being religious", and religion is "irrelevant" during scientific discussions. Evidence is "unscientific" if it disagrees with them. Good "science" requires censoring evidence that disagrees.

\* \* \*

We have been watching with admiration the creativity of God in making so many cycles in the Universe that match the character of His Breath. (Or, as it is also called, His Spirit.)

We see electrons orbiting around nuclei, chlorophyll circulating within the bodies of plants, air and water circulating around the Earth, moons orbiting planets, planets orbiting suns, stars orbiting galaxies, and galaxies orbiting around the Earth.

Yet for all the stability God has created in the universe, by making it out of the most stable material possible outside Himself – His Breath, the universe is so far from monotonous! Sunrises and sunsets, for example, could come one after another a million times in a row and never become boring, because every one looks different and beautiful! Why? Because God's breaths themselves are never perfectly identical, although they are always essentially of the same components.

Why, just see nature's perpetual dance! Sunrise, sunset! Winds whirling around continually, then returning whence they came! Rivers running into the sea perpetually, yet the sea never gets full, but God carries their waters back to whence rivers begin!

God has made all things full of...what would be a good word to describe it? Labor. That's it. "Labor" is a good word.

"Labor" seems to be, somehow, the essence of creation.

VerseScout: Ecclesiastes 1:2 Vanity of vanities, saith the Preacher, vanity of vanities; all is vanity. [Heb: "Breath of Breaths, all is Breath."] 3 What profit hath a man of all his labour which he taketh under the sun? 4 One generation passeth away, and another generation cometh: but the earth abideth for ever. 5 The sun also ariseth, and the sun goeth down, and hasteth to his place where he arose. 6 The wind goeth toward the south, and turneth about unto the north; it whirleth about continually, and the wind returneth again according to his circuits. 7 All the rivers run into the sea; yet the sea is not full; unto the place from whence the rivers come, thither they return again. All things are full of labour; man cannot utter it: the eye is not satisfied with seeing, nor the ear filled with hearing.

**Day five** -- Out of the particles God has created, He has now created living creatures even more intelligent than plants! He created fish, great and small, able to navigate the waters; and all manner of flying animals able to navigate the air!

Most miraculous of all, God created them with the ability to reproduce themselves! But God didn't just create a few of them and wait for them to reproduce until they filled the earth. God filled the earth with them instantly! God did not create a single blade of grass and wait years for it to multiply and cover the meadow, but He painted entire hillsides with its soothing green!

It would not even have been possible to create just one or two of each plant and animal, because they were so dependant upon others for their survival. For example, whales need the company of other whales: and not just one other whale, but entire "schools" of them, in order to be complete. And for each whale, there must be thousands of smaller fish, and for each of those,

thousands of still smaller fish, etc., down to the minutest one-celled plants and animals, trillions of trillions!

How marvelous, and considerate of the needs of the smallest creature, is God's creation!

What love, that not one of these, not the smallest of them, was created without its needs being provided!

But God did not completely fill the earth with plants, birds and fish. God left plenty of room for all of them to grow and multiply. We noticed that God made most of these creatures witheyes that could not see the light shining from all matter through God, but that needed the light of the sun to see.

We watched the rest of our question of a couple of days ago being answered before our eyes. We watched birds eat berries which contained seeds, and we watched them leave their "droppings", which still contained the live seeds, miles away from where the berries grew!

And God created flying insects, such as bees, to spread pollen on stamens in the course of producing a wonderful golden substance called "honey".

When God solves a problem, the result is always, truly, sweet!

\* \* \*

And now our fallen brothers are at it again! They say God didn't create the plants, birds, and fish, but they just "evolved".

When we asked what "evolve" means, they defined this word as "the chance creation of living things by dead elements randomly banging together for billions of years"!

"You mean," we queried, "if you bang two rocks together for long enough, they will turn into a fish?"

"Yes, yes!" they were so excited that we understood. "Or if lightning strikes water long enough! Doesn't it make perfect sense? If you have lightning striking water for two or three billion years, why, can't you see it's just INEVITABLE that out of all those lighting strikes, one would hit just right to turn some of the water into a fish?"

"OK, let me double check something", I once asked them. "If you were flying around and found an abandoned wing cover, would you assume an angel had flown by there, or would you assume some rocks had been banging together and evolved into a wing cover?"

"Obviously, we would assume an angel had flown by there. But what's your point?"

We found ourselves unable to even continue our conversation with them without devising some bold new strategy.

Remember that word we had to coin to describe the particular variety of "amazing" which Lucifer had shown? "Stupid"? Well, "stupid" was what we faced in this conversation. But desperate curiosity drove us to want to continue the conversation as long as possible, to answer why such incredibly intelligent beings could believe such stupidity. How could we do that? Not by yelling "that's

stupid" and flying away.

After a few angelic conferences, we came up with a strategy. We would use temporary deception. We would pretend to understand them, and agree with them long enough to keep the

conversation going, while we probed their thinking, to see if we could find some flaw in it which we could expose to them, that they might be restored to the truth. This was a controversial strategy, because it required deception, even if temporarily. There was the risk that the deception might become permanent, through our inability to explain our logic to them; after all, we had already failed to explain things even more basic. But our purpose was to deliver them from their own deception, and to restore them to The Truth. To deliberately leave them in deception seemed less honest than trying to free them from deception. So we decided to take the risk.

We made up a new word for our strategy, named after our friend Sarcamson who became our teacher of this technique: "sarcasm".

Here is a typical example of our "sarcasm":

"Wow, I see what you mean about all that rock banging. And how animals evolved from plants, by, how did you say it? By banging rocks into plants? Or was it banging plants into each other?"

"Well, actually, they weren't big rocks. Just little tiny ones, so little you can't see them. You know, the atoms. They are just little rocks banging around all the time, and yet they make up the

most complex living structures. So you can see how rock piles, banging into each other at the molecular level, might evolve by chance into a hill here, a mountain there, and if they bang around

for enough billions of years, surely one of those bangs might produce the Sears Tower."

"The what?"

"Oh, never mind. But the point is that all this banging around is already occurring at the molecular level, so it's perfectly rational, and even to be expected, that a protozoa might one day evolve into a field of clover."

"You've made it clearer than you can know. And now I see how yet another protozoa, the brother of the first, might bang around into a bumblebee."

"Yes! Now I think you understand! Just exactly!"

"Not on the first bang, of course, but over billions of bangs."

"Yes! Yes! Here, let me offer you a discount on a membership card to our fellowship!"

"How long is it good for? A year? Millions of years?"

"No, no! It is good for all eternity!"

"I'll think about it. But meanwhile we are not saying, of course, that in any particular year, of the three billion, we might expect a protozoa to bang into a bumblebee."

"Of course not. Only that, in any one of those billions, it will surely happen."

"So we could never expect just the right bang at any given time. Like, we could not say 'that protozoa has been banging around for four billion years already, which is the required number, which means that tomorrow will be the day it turns into a bumblebee."

"Oh, of course not. It might be tomorrow, or in another million years. And remember, the protozoa never evolves directly into a bumblebee. It goes through stages: first a dust mite, then

into a flea, then a tick, then an ant, then a fly, and finally a bumblebee. And there might be a million more steps, each one taking thousands of years."

"Oh, right. I forgot. I certainly never meant to insult your intelligence by suggesting you are so gullible as to believe a protozoa might turn into a bumblebee with a single bang."

"Thank you. No, I am certainly not that gullible. I am fully aware the bumblebee has had to evolve for many years, just from a very similar insect, such as a wasp."

"And meanwhile, the clover, and other pollinated flowers upon which the bumblebee feeds, have likewise been evolving for many years from similar flowers."

"Yes, yes!"

Hmmmm."

"What? What's wrong?"

"Oh no! I see a problem that I can't figure out!"

"Surely not! What is it?"

"Look at these flowers that cannot live for even one season without the bumblebee, and without which the bumblebee could not live for even one week. Why, these flowers, and bumblebees,

had to evolve the same week! But how could they, if the steps of evolution between their present forms, and their previous forms, were gradual?

"In other words, if their previous forms were dramatic, like if you can imagine the bumblebee was a grasshopper only last week, and the clover was a strawberry only last week, then you have the capacity to imagine how they have succeeded in evolving in time, before they both died. But if Evolution requires gradual changes over much longer periods of time, the two cannot logically exist! It almost seems easier to imagine that God created bumblebees and flowers a couple of days apart."

"I see what you mean. Well, I guess we simply need to amend the Theory of Evolution to reflect Dramatic Evolution.."

"You mean call it the Theory of Revolution?"

"Good choice! Hey guys, listen to this! 'The Theory of Revolution'! Isn't that hot?" See what I mean? Sarcasm doesn't work with these guys.

**Day six** -- God created all kinds of animals on land! From tiny insects to giant dinosaurs!Then we watched as small animals caught the last of the seeds we wondered about in their fur and carried them, again for miles, before releasing them!

We marveled at the capacity of plants to serve as food for animals; especially, of the dependence of so many animals on just one type of plant for nourishment, and at God's incomprehensible scheduling in providing just those plants to just those animals just when they are needed!

\* \* \*

But nothing astonished us more than the latest reports from Hell. Some of our "religious" fallen brothers are at least acknowledging God as creator of all creation, but saying each one of these "days" is really about a billion years! I know time is dragging for them, but...!

In other words, they're saying the plants were created, and then a billion years later the sun was created! They have more faith than I do! I only have faith that God can do miracles. But they even have faith that plants can do miracles!

If you would like to read the entire "Prehistoric Angel Diary", contact:

Dave Leach 137 E. Leach Des Moines IA 50315 PrehistoricAngelDiary@Saltshaker.US 515/480-3398