

October 26, 2010
137 E. Leach
Des Moines IA 50315
Cell: 480-3398

(Mailed to 290 pastors in Des Moines 10/27/2010 AD)

Dear Pastor,

I miss church.

I want to regain the face to face fellowship I once enjoyed in church. I am a musician, owner of Family Music Center, and I used to minister and direct church music. I miss that. I hope you are willing to help me.

Over 30 years ago, divorce knocked me out of my TV chair. I sought to understand what it is about Iowa's divorce courts that seems to suck in couples whose thoughts stray too near. I read court cases, laws, the Bible, and I discovered solutions.

Since I had heard so many sermons during my youth about the evil of divorce, I naturally assumed every pastor to whom I showed these solutions would joyfully tell their congregations, and together we would all go up to the statehouse and fix it next month.

I soon discovered that "church" had changed since I was a boy. Too easily intimidated by a little clause inserted into the IRS code by Senator Lyndon Johnson in 1953, pastors stopped preaching against sins as soon as politicians started legally protecting them, because now those sins were "politics", and churches must not be involved in politics! That is "controversial", as if Jesus, our example, was not! Every few years some new abomination, so unthinkable half a century ago that they weren't even temptations, is added to the list of "political issues" which may no longer be preached against.

So my solutions were censored. I was not allowed to share them in "church" to inspire the help needed to go to the capitol and save marriage bonds under attack by our laws. That was "politics", I learned. If I wanted to do something about this evil which had been the target of so many childhood sermons, I would have to leave the church and seek allies among unbelievers who did not share my spiritual foundation. I was not allowed to "put feet to" my pastor's sermons against sin, in any way that involved the church. No one was.

Over the past 30 years I have only been more and more burdened to fight in the thickest of Satan's attacks on our land. Which has made me less and less welcome in "church".

I once read that when Constantinople was surrounded by bloodthirsty Moslem armies, the priests spent their last days debating how many angels can dance on the head of a pin. I perceive that degree of triviality in today's services where bold stands are taken on what words to say during a baptism or how often to take communion or how loud to crank the guitars, while adultery, fornication, gambling, drugs, drinking, divorce, psychology, pornography, and sodomy wash over the private lives of worshipers without a mention. Worshipers not only do them, but vote for those who institutionalize them! Romans 1:32!

It is too much to bear, for watchmen to be under orders to remain silent, while Satan's worst are climbing the walls!

The other pressure on me to stay away from "church" is that the vigorous Christian interaction which is not allowed to me in it, but is only available away from it, though not often face to face, takes precious time of which I am a steward during Satan's deadly campaigns. Face to face fellowship is what I miss. It is better, all things being equal. But I must choose between face to face fellowship where I must remain seated and silent when "any thing be revealed to" me, 1 Cor 14:30, or fellowship where I may freely share what God shows me, which is usually *not* face to face. For example, writing

this letter to you.

I am not writing this letter because I have calculated it is the fastest way to make friends and raise money. But I must tell my story, and send it to pastors I have not met, because I must find someone willing to understand and help. And help not only me, but members of your church suffering the same censorship of what God has shown them.

My hunger for face to face fellowship has taken me through almost every denomination. Where I perceived any opening, I would go for a few months, or years, patiently waiting for openings to reason with the pastor and other leaders, until finally my welcome had worn, and I retreated to what uncensored Christian interaction was available through my Prayer & Action News (since 1989) and my cable TV show (since 1995: The Uncle Ed. Show; Mediacom channel 84, 4pm Sat, 9:30 pm Tue).

My problem is that I want not merely to hear sympathetic pastoral words spoken behind walls safe from attackers like the Des Moines Redstar. Even where a pastor presents political information, but allows no forum where others may, there is still no place there where I may share my gifts, and his flock still lacks the safety of a *multitude* of counselors. Proverbs 11:14.

I want action where the battle rages. I want a free enough flow of information to enable the troops to coordinate their movements. That simply is not possible where doing something about Satan's most deadly attacks is called "political" and not, therefore, to be spoken of on church premises! Or where only one may speak of it.

On the Front Lines: Supply Lines Dismantled

And now I am about as far into the thick of the battle as I have ever been. I am offering voters an alternative to Senator Matt McCoy.

I oppose gay marriage; McCoy is gay.

I want to vote out the Supreme Court judges who forced gay marriage on Iowa; McCoy is the reason we have to vote them out. He was honored with a reward for killing the marriage amendment 5 years ago.

I have a legal strategy for ending abortion in a few months; he votes to murder babies. A thing which simply is not normal.

My 24th anniversary is almost here; he divorced his wife for being the wrong sex.

I seek the Biblical view of the size and intrusion of government; he is Vice Chair of the Appropriations Committee which let one time "stimulus" money feed a billion dollar growth in bureaucracy which will not go away when the money does.

I, like millions of fellow church-going Christians in America, am on the front lines, but the commanders have ordered the supply lines dismantled. We all really need your help! This battle desperately requires freedom of speech so those on the front can alert those back home what's coming, and those at home know how to supply those protecting their families.

I've raised less than \$4,000. McCoy raised 20 times that much. But if churches allowed information to flow, money would not be an issue.

You don't need me telling you many Christians share my sense of tragedy that churches willingly stifle action. I doubt if any pastor has escaped being cornered by someone like that. You've seen the urgency, the desperation in their eyes, the disbelief when you told them their limits, and your own fear of transgressing them. Yet they still go to your churches, and I don't. Why the difference? Because, from my experience, most of them still believe Hebrews 10:24-25 refers to your churches. They have not reached the conviction I have, that the purpose given in those verses was to "exhort one another daily", a thing which churches today have dictated is only allowed outside church.

Watchmen Silenced

Satan doesn't put signs on all his initiatives warning "this idea came from me!" But God has sent you Watchmen, right in your church, who have read and prayed about Satan's fine print. They can warn your congregation how a new school program with a family-friendly prospectus will suck your children down. You can't know these things from reading the Bible alone. Stop saying you need but "get them saved, and

then they will know what to do.” How shall they “know what to do”, if no one who knows what to do is allowed to tell them? Romans 10:14. Your church children are under attacks that could have been prevented, had you not muzzled your Watchmen.

That’s why one of the Gifts of the Spirit listed in 1 Corinthians 12:28 is the Gift of “governments”. *kubernaysis*, in Greek; the root of our gubernatorial, regarding our office of state Governor. Some theologians insist this means a “church administrator” and nothing more. But where in the Bible do you see a single “church administrator” mentioned? Unless it would be Judas, John 13:29, or the Acts 6:1-6 table waiters, who administered far less than the whole church? On the other hand the Bible is full of the Holy Spirit’s involvement in “politics”! Every “example of faith” in Hebrews 11 either held political power, or influenced those who did. See www.Saltshaker.US, “God’s Political Heroes”. Or see “Jesus wasn’t involved in Politics?” See “The Gift of Governments” for a study of it.

Unarmed Soldiers of God

A tragic consequence of Christians ordered off church premises if they want to put feet to their pastor’s sermons is that, to maintain coalitions with unbelievers, they think they have to leave their “swords” back at church. Even when an issue wouldn’t even exist were it not for God having a strong position on it, (for example, had God not spoken strongly against sodomy and abortion, to which of us would it have occurred to take them so seriously?) Christians will give every other reason in public for their positions, other than the Scriptures that persuaded them. America really needs, especially now, greater than human wisdom! Those on the front lines must unsheath their swords! But how can they, driven out from those similarly armed to fight alongside unarmed pagans who just get offended if you use a weapon with any power?

Another tragic consequence of Christians struggling to serve pastoral principles without pastoral communication, and without cracking open their Bibles but going from foggy memory, is that sometimes they assume they have it right, but are tragically wrong.

For example, charity. Many Christians vote Democrat because the Bible frequently commands care for the widows, orphans, “strangers” (immigrants), and poor. Even Jesus performed that ministry: John 13:29. And Democrats claim to serve those groups through their welfare and immigration policies. Many other Christians vote Republican because they don’t believe the Bible ever authorizes government to force taxpayers to fund those services, but makes its command to individuals. I find Biblical precedent for some government welfare, Deuteronomy 26:12, but with an inflexible limit on the size of government; and mostly for private charity, with bureaucratic red tape out of its way.

You don’t hear these logical connections between Scripture and political positions admitted very often in the media or in church discussions, but I was a Democrat 21 years and now have been a Republican 23 years, and I have heard these discussions often enough, in a wide enough variety of churches (I have spent many years in many kinds) to believe many committed Christians are conscious of them, and these are important factors in their voting.

But neither Republicans nor Democrats, that I have ever heard of, actually get out their Bibles as a body, Republican and Democrat believers studying together, to examine their respective positions in the light of the Word of God. That would be “too controversial”, I guess, to explore what the Bible says. I have not heard sermons or homilies that explore these details.

If they did, we might find some creative solutions that better serve the needy, while restoring fiscal sanity.

Another example is immigration. “Stranger” appears in the KJV 131 times. It means someone outside the group under discussion. Usually it means “immigrant”. But I am still waiting for an attempt to recreate God’s solution for immigration today that considers even a dozen verses, other than my own attempt, which you can find at www.Saltshaker.US/HispanicHope.

Can you imagine a sermon or homily about some area of church doctrine, that quotes not a single verse as a double check on whether the doctrine is really of God? How then do we trust relatively uneducated (in Scripture) Christians to “rightly divid[e] the word of truth” (2 Timothy 2:15) – Christians who sincerely

want to honor God in all their decisions – to lead our nation without the benefit of either pastoral communication or Biblical exegesis?!

The Censored Love Censorship

The part of this I struggle most to understand is that it is my fellow Christians, driven out of their churches with me to proclaim the Gospel where Satan is driving hardest, who complain the loudest when I quote what God says about our political positions under attack!

Even a Bible believing pastor told me he can't take my campaign seriously as long as I use the word "sodomite" in public. I use it because **in a world where news reporters won't repeat the Bible verses I quote but will put my use of the word "sodomite" in their headlines, to convict me of "hate speech" for the word choice shared by our "God of Love", getting that word before the public is as effective as a Bible verse in reminding people that there is a God who takes a position on the subject.**

As for the criticism why I talk about God in public where there are people who hate God and will not vote for me if I quote Him, I do not expect their vote anyway. My intended audience is people who do *not* hate God or His Word. It is ridiculous for God's enemies to accuse me, for merely quoting God, of "stuffing God down people's throats", or "imposing my religion on them", while *they* are just as freely assaulting *me* with their *hatred* of God.

I am not a dictator, and our government is not a dictatorship. No individual, under our Bible-inspired government, imposes any religion on anyone. The only power anyone has is the power of persuasion, to influence voters to put the police powers either on the side of good standards, or evil standards.

I have enough trouble encouraging *Christians* to stop being too ashamed of the Bible to publicly acknowledge the verses that inspire their *own* political positions, without worrying what God's *enemies* think of me.

God promises healing for the land "If MY PEOPLE...SEEK MY FACE...", 1 Chr 7:14, which is reasonably interpreted as meaning to seek to make the Word of God *prominent* in the land as if it were somehow *relevant* to decisions made regarding the land. If *Christians* will publicly acknowledge God, it doesn't matter what God's *enemies* do. America will be safe.

This election has become a referendum on the relevance of God. My opponent lives and, in some cases, votes as if the plain meaning of the Word of God is absolutely irrelevant. I, on the other hand, am so insistent on publicly acknowledging God that I embarrass many fellow Bible believing Republicans who fear the loss of credibility among God's enemies.

This is another reason I am writing you. **The doctrine that there should be a "wall of separation between God's Wisdom and man's policies", so that therefore a man like me who quotes God in defense of my policies forfeits his credibility, came from pastors like you. I am challenging you, echoing President Reagan regarding a similarly tragic wall, "Mr. Pastor, tear down this wall!"**

God said "when the wicked beareth rule, the people mourn." Proverbs 29:2. God's implication is that wicked rulership is not His preference. 1 Samuel 8 illustrates how God will provide wicked leadership, however, to people who clamor for it. God did *not* say "When the righteous Biblicly blindfold themselves, the people rejoice."

This is not about whether your or my understanding of God is better than unbelievers'. This is about whether we as a nation may resume discussing, together, appealing to and freely quoting the highest principles we know, which behaviors are evil enough to merit being discouraged by law, and which behaviors serve the public interest enough to be protected by law. I don't see the voices of darkness censoring themselves to avoid offending me, and I am concerned that **so long as Christians censor their Light to avoid offending the darkness, our nation will continue falling into darkness.**

I wish God had a more worthy representative in this discussion than I. I do such stupid things, that even *I* know they are stupid.

But God said "my strength is made perfect in weakness", 2 Cor 12:9. 2 Cor 4:6 says its like God, to command "the light to shine out of darkness". Speaking of the creation of our human bodies out of earth, in

the next verse, he said these “earthen vessels” – literally made out of dirt – contain “the light of the knowledge of the glory of God in the face of Jesus Christ”.

So if what God is looking for, in a vessel fit to radiate His Glory, is weakness, then I am qualified after all.

In other words, this is not about whether I, or any other Christian witnessing in forums where our nation chooses whether to criminalize good and protect evil or vice versa, am more fit to represent God than an unbeliever. This is about whether any man, woman, or child, as weak, foolish, and rebellious as we all are, is fit to call upon God with the hope that He will help us clean up the messes we have made as easily as our parents cleaned our poopy diapers.

But if we’re old enough to know better, old enough to exercise self control, yet we use our control to hold it until God cleans us up and then we let ‘er rip, our heavenly parent might just let us sit in our poop for awhile in the hope we will tire of it. Because in Heaven, there is no poop. If we love to splash in it here, we will not fit in, there.

America has been letting self destructive behavior out of the closet over the past 50 years, from stupid spending pushing our governments to the brink of collapse, to killing our very own babies, to sexual behaviors (especially sodomy but not just sodomy) that shorten lifetimes way more than smoking. **We have managed to let self destructive behavior out of the closet, by pushing God in. If we care about our own survival as a people, we are going to let what’s in the closet, and what’s out of the closet, switch places.**

Freedom is at stake.

Censorship

Censorship is the antithesis of freedom.

Before I give a few examples of censorship outside the church which threaten freedom, I want to make it clear that freedom is an exclusively Biblical value.

Freedom of all adults to vote.

Freedom of speech to criticize both church and state.

Freedom of conscience to choose one’s religion without government pressure.

A “rule of law” equally binding upon all, even the lawmakers.

All these are and were founded on the Bible, and are found in no other religion.

I am the elected elder of the Iowa Society of Mayflower Descendants. In that capacity I was a delegate to the national society’s 2008 triennial convention. While there I interviewed the world’s experts on what the Pilgrims created for us. My resulting documentary, “1620 – when Freedom was Reborn” is posted free at www.Saltshaker.US.

It documents not only *that* the Pilgrims created these freedoms from their Bible study in a world where such freedom had been unthinkable for over a thousand years, but it documents *which* verses so inspired them.

From 1 Corinthians 14, many supporting passages, and church history, they instituted a “Sabbath [Sunday] afternoon” “Prophesying service” in which all could raise any topic, theological or political, and discuss it, in the light of whatever relevant Scriptures others might suggest. Even a green light to respectfully criticize the leader, in front of everyone, as warranted, Pastor John Robinson had found explicit authority for, in that chapter. If the pastor thought the criticism incorrect, his response was not to censor it, but “by sound doctrine both to exhort and to convince [Gr. refute]” his critics. Titus 1:9. Of course today we prefer the alternative: public criticism of the pastor allowed only behind his back.

In a world where criticism of either church or state got you burned at the stake everywhere else, no theology less clear and bold could have served as a beachhead for the freedoms we take for granted today.

Although that theology and institution died out in churches within a century, the freedom it birthed spread throughout governments, in America first, and then across the world, toppling kings and dictators, like the stone cut out of a mountain not by hands in Daniel 2:34-35 topples world history’s succession of world tyrants!

The Pilgrims gave the vote to all. Not just the church members, but members of other churches, and

nonbelievers! Not just the freemen, but the servants! Not just the men, but when my ancestor Richard Warren died in 1627, leaving his wife Elizabeth head of household, she was given a vote!

Compare that with England where about 3% voted. Or the Puritans, where not only did you have to be a church member but you had to profess a particular kind of conversion experience, or you could neither vote nor own property!

Actually our republic is patterned after Moses' electoral system. Numbers 1 only implies they were elected, by the word "known". For confirmation that they were elected, ["suffrages"], after giving campaign speeches, see Josephus, Antiquities book 3, chapter 4, paragraph 71, and especially footnote a.

Moses' system is really like ours. We clumsily call his a "theocracy", as if that is something different; but God's rules were sparse general principles, requiring human judges to apply them to specific situations. For example, God ruled that a man gathering sticks on the Sabbath was to be stoned. So how about walking to the next town? How about hanging out the wash? So the "common law" of the Israelites, later written as the Talmud, evolved. It was essentially case law. Jesus did not criticize that process, that I can tell, when He criticized particular rulings that far exceeded God's commands, Mark 7:1-23, made by people with no heart for obeying God's Laws, v. 6-13.

Case law is not substantially different than statutory law enacted by legislators, to the extent legislators honor "natural law", or the Laws of God. Which America's founders did. America's first Congressmen, doing Bible study as they debated how most accurately to incorporate God's principles into human law, were doing the same thing as Israel's judges.

Today many Congressmen could care less what God says. But that was true of Israel's judges, too, from time to time. "Theocracy" supposedly means God rules, but God has always authorized men to administer their own destiny, choosing how intently to honor God's advice. When God characterized Moses' Republic as a government where God rules over man, 1 Samuel 8:7, it applies just as much to the form of government with which we are blessed.

With that background on the Biblical foundation of Freedom, consider how seriously Freedom is threatened by a tidal wave of censorship which Christians are doing way too little to restrain.

In "the world", the prize for the leading censors of our time must be split between Moslems and sodomites.

Today a humorless murderer in a backward country half way around the world can send out an email threatening death for publicly telling a joke, that makes American opinion molders silently cower! Not long ago Americans still said "I may not agree with what you say, but I will defend to the death your right to say it." Our commitment to this mantra is still being tested. We need to score higher, or forfeit freedom.

On October 20, the Family Research Council reported that the previous week, Facebook officially "friended" GLAAD, the Gay and Lesbian Alliance Against Defamation, to "end hate speech and anti-gay bullying" on the internet. ("Facebook Doesn't Get Mad, It Gets GLAAD".)

CNN explained that Facebook will be trolling its pages for violators. "And this isn't just routine...policing, either." A GLAAD press release urges liberals to help find and report violators. "Our community needs to continue to be vigilant and report instances of hateful comments and images across the site to Facebook moderators as well as post messages of support for gay, bisexual, and transgender youth."

GLAAD recently pressured the *Washington Post* to blacklist FRC's Tony Perkins for his op-ed about the risks of homosexuality.

The Daily Caller, October 19, said "Facebook spokesman Andrew Noyes did his best to explain why language criticizing homosexuality is hateful and will be censored, while ...[Facebook] groups such as 'I Hate Rush Limbaugh', 'I Can't Wait for Rush Limbaugh to Die', and 'Rush Limbaugh Should Die Slowly'...are legitimate and allowed."

Last week I had an experience of censorship by my sodomite opponent Matt McCoy which I think you should know about.

What happened to the October 19 Leach-McCoy Debate?

All you heard from Jennifer Jacobs of the Des Moines Redstar was that I didn't think the debate rules

were fair because they made me stick to the subject! Poor little me! You should know the rest of the story.

Here's my one minute summary, in a WHO radio ad aired Oct 19: (Also posted on Youtube, under my channel, Biblewizard) "Tonight, Senator Matt McCoy had agreed to debate me, Dave Leach. But it won't happen, because McCoy required rules which made me stick to subjects dictated by two groups he is in bed with politically. Had I *strayed* from those subjects there was going to be a *lawyer* there to object. Apparently *no* video or audio record would be made of the debate so people *who did not attend* could see it, or document what was said. And *I* had to agree not to tell anyone what *was* said. For all the details go to www.Saltshaker.US. Is it that McCoy is afraid to face me in a real debate? I need your help raising the issues *he* wants *censored*. McCoy raised \$280,000 for his last election. I have raised 1% of that. Please help, at www.Saltshaker.US. Or stop by my Family Music Center. And please vote for Dave Leach."

It all started September 1, with Senator Matt McCoy's letter to the editor of the Des Moines Register, bawling out Congressman Steve King for refusing to debate his opponent, invoking grand principles like "democracy" and what the voters "deserve". I took that as a public invitation to debate me, assuming McCoy's reasoning was consistent. On September 7, he accepted. But not until October 11 did the rules arrive.

Voters instinctively understand that no one objects to having a debate videotaped unless he or she has something to hide. But to allow me to talk only on subjects dictated by two ultral liberal organizations? See all the details at www.Saltshaker.US/Leach2010/Debate.pdf

Satan is a liar, so of course the mediums he controls are not passionate about the truth. That's why Christians have to be free to inform each other of matters Satan distorts. [I am not the only Christian whose ministry has been wounded by Hell's lies, while today's Levites and priests, Luke 10:29-36, have the power to easily investigate and publish the facts but instead they pass safely on the other side of the road to avoid getting bloodied with "politics".](#)

My "Support" of a Murderer

You have been patient to read this far! Please let me give you a second example of the kind of censorship the World throws at Christians opposing Satan's front lines, which Christians have the power to reverse through their churches, if they only will.

I have discovered a legal strategy for reversing abortion.

That doesn't sit well with news reporters.

The first time my name appears in a news article, it is followed by two commas, in between which are an introduction such as the following: "a radical right wing fanatic vocal supporter of the radical right wing fanatic nutball convicted in January of murdering a world-renowned doctor loved by everybody and canonized by all legitimate churches".

It is a kind of censorship, to use your control over a medium of communication to allow only words which support your prejudices, and to exclude all others.

My being accused for associating with Scott Roeder is a little like Jesus being accused for associating with "sinners". Jesus came to Earth to stop sin, and yet what did He do? He went right to sinners and made friends with them! What a hypocrite, the Pharisees said!

Jesus didn't even go to angels! He went to human beings! The lowest of the low!

The two answers Jesus had, for His critics, are the same two answers I have for mine.

Jesus said, in Mark 2:17 and Matthew 9:12, that those who think they are healthy won't go to a doctor. In other words, Jesus was willing to heal the Pharisees too, but they rejected Him, so He went where He could accomplish something.

In the same way, my goal is to end all the violence, both in and outside the womb, and yet I go right to a man convicted of violence! Is that hypocritical of me?

I have associated with Scott Roeder for the purpose of creating a climate in which no one will ever again have a reason to shoot an abortionist. Through Scott Roeder's court record I have discovered a way to end infanticide's fragile "legality", and Scott Roeder has been open to letting his case be a vehicle for forcing courts to address these legal arguments.

By contrast, it has been like pulling teeth to get mainstream prolife leaders to shift gears and publicly acknowledge that [the federal law 6 years ago defining all unborn babies as human beings \(18 U.S.C. § 1841\(d\)\)](#) already says as much as their “Life at Conception Act” which they promise will finally end abortion if it passes next year. Federal law already does meet the conditions of Roe’s “collapse” clause, creating the legal green light for legislatures to resume criminalizing abortion already. There has been encouragement and some positive response, and no one has said my legal arguments are unsound, but the wheels of human mental habits turn slowly.

Among such responses: **Republican Attorney General candidate Brenna Findley emphatically agreed with my verbal description of these arguments** when I presented them to her July 31. I gave her my written Model Joint Resolution (see www.Saltshaker.US/SLIC, for Stop Legal Infanticide by Christmas) for her to review for the purpose of publicly endorsing it. I have had a dozen conversations with her staff about getting that endorsement. I learned she has submitted the draft to lawyers more expert in that area for review. Although I still do not have her formal public endorsement after all this time, I am personally encouraged that after all that scrutiny, she has not indicated through her staff that there is any problem with it. (You can ask ben@findleyforiowa.com. I sent him an advance copy of this letter.)

Every abortionist lawyer agrees with me! The ACLU, Planned Parenthood, National Abortion Federation, National Women’s Law Center, and a dozen others, all sent letters as this 2004 law was debated, explaining how this law would undermine legal abortion, bringing the issue of the humanity of the unborn before juries in criminal cases. Scott Roeder’s case is the first criminal case since this law was passed, and just as the abortionists prophesied, the issue of the humanity of the unborn was almost brought before Scott’s jury, and depending on how the appeal goes it still may be.

Democrats in the U.S. House unanimously agreed with me that the law would do to abortion what I say it does. Every abortionist legal organization wrote a letter agreeing, and a few Republicans agreed, while the handful of Republicans who insisted Laci’s Law was no threat to abortion offered very thin arguments in support.

Just as Jesus got a little encouragement, but no public endorsements, from Nicodemus coming at night, and by leaving the whole Sanhedrin speechless at the age of 12, I have run my arguments past scores of lawyers and no one has explained to me where my legal reasoning fails, and no one has publicly criticized my reasoning. And a couple of prolife leaders are publicly willing to acknowledge these arguments, which I have summarized in a model joint resolution: Rep. Windschitl in Iowa, and Chet Gallagher in Colorado, a board member of Personhood USA who is currently working hard on the personhood initiative in his state. (See his endorsement at www.Saltshaker.US/Leach2010, “TV”)

Jesus’ second answer to critics of His association with “sinners” was that the so called “sinners” were justified more than the so-called “righteous”.

Luke 18:14 I tell you, this man went down to his house justified rather than the other: for every one that exalteth himself shall be abased; and he that humbleth himself shall be exalted.

I imagine Jesus would have preferred that the Pharisees warmly received him. Just as I would prefer that mainstream prolife leaders be the first to get on board ending abortion in a few months. But meanwhile Scott Roeder is open to letting his case be a vehicle for forcing judges to address the “collapse” of legal abortion through Laci’s Law.

A case without violence would certainly be a cleaner case. The ideal case would be a law passed by a state legislature criminalizing abortion as if Roe v. Wade had never existed. Then if a court dares challenge it, the law would be represented by the Iowa Attorney General Brenna Findley (who is already aware of this argument) rather than by a half-hearted public defender with a limited budget who doesn’t even believe babies are humans. The AG would present her evidence that Roe’s “collapse” clause says if anyone ever establishes unborn babies are human, then abortion’s legality will “of course” “collapse”, and there won’t be any jury from whom the judge can hide this defense. That is one reason I am running for the Iowa Senate; I think I am as legally and emotionally prepared as anyone to floor manage such a bill through the Senate.

But instead of taking advantage of the opportunity God gave them over 6 years ago to end abortion, prolife leaders want your money to elect candidates who will put personhood language in federal law like the

language that is already there! I have received fundraising letters from Iowa Congressman Steve King and Mississippi Senator Roger Vitter to get the Life At Conception Act passed next session. *The only thing in the Life at Conception Act that isn't already in the federal code is the statement that the 14th Amendment protects the right of humans to Life. But Roe already conceded that, in its "collapse" clause! The Life at Conception Act specifies that humanity exists from the moment of conception, but Laci's Law already applies its definition of the unborn to all pregnant women, and everyone knows that the moment a woman conceives, she is pregnant. I'm not against reinforcing, in federal law, principles that can end abortion, but if we wait until we have erected a second green light a couple of years down the road, before we are willing to proceed on the green light that has already been green almost 7 years, aren't we participating in the slaughter?*

But I will not accuse them of such base motives as not wanting a victory thorough enough to depress the fundraising. I will put it down to the wheels of human mental habits moving very slowly.

But meanwhile, Scott's wheels aren't turning so slowly, so I am doing what I can to end all the violence, through his case.

One big reason these wheels are turning so slowly is the fear of "guilt by association".

But the legal foundation for Roe's "collapse" is the recognition that the babies of humans are humans. If that is true, then although it is true that Scott Roeder killed a man, the Necessity Defense in American law requires that we take into account *why* Scott killed the man: because the man was in the middle of a killing spree that had already claimed 60,000 victims! It is American law, not only Proverbs 24:10-12 and common sense, that count this as a valid legal defense!

We cannot end abortion as long as Americans fail to understand the regard for human life that is embedded in American law. Simply put, American law justifies taking the life of a killer, in order to save the lives of his victims. Simply put, were American law followed faithfully by Judge Wilbert, he would have let Scott's jury hear his defense, the jury would have acquitted Scott, Scott would be a free man, and abortion would be illegal today.

These are legal facts which no one can seriously deny. Indeed, I have combed the literature for a cogent denial and have found nothing. Yet I search for a long time before I meet someone with the courage to say, publicly, that Emperor Abortion has no legal clothes.

God's Solution

I'm not offering these examples of censorship as the most earth shaking examples out there, but as examples where I can personally attest to the media spin, versus the whole story.

Yet I do count them as significant for our nation. The censorship orchestrated by my opponent of our scheduled debate, and the coverup by Jennifer Jacobs, is significant because McCoy is the spear point of Satan's thrust into Iowa, through his "eloquent advocacy" killing the marriage amendment 5 years ago, necessitating the campaign to oust the judges, which is the most heated "social issue" to consume Iowa politics for many years. What he, conspiring with Jacobs and the League of Women Voters, censored, is precisely what I have to say about that very issue.

The consequence? Sodomy is more entrenched. Censorship snowballs. Truth recedes. Children are perverted and pointed towards disease and shortened lives in the name of saving them from suicide.

The media suppression and distortion of my legal strategy for ending abortion in a few months is also significant for the nation. The strategy has been thoroughly tested, yet the predictable media characterization of me has successfully embarrassed even prolife leaders into distancing themselves from this way to end abortion because it is me presenting it.

The result? More millions of dead innocent citizens.

But it wouldn't matter that our secular media censors, if our churches did not censor even more! If churches unmuzzled the Holy Spirit Gift of "governments", and communicated with its members who are following the Hebrews 11 Examples of Faith, church bulletins and websites would become such a powerful, accurate alternative media that secular media would be ignored. "The world" would be truly turned right-side up. Acts 17:6.

If church communication remains closed, however, as the freedom of speech originated by churches

and then abandoned first by churches, is chipped away, with sodomites leading the chipping, does America have hope?

Yes! I know not how. I know not when. But Jesus promised me that the mountain I am pushing will tumble to the sea. He didn't say "immediately". He didn't say I would be able to predict when. He said if I have a bit of "faith". Presumably He means faith enough to persevere. To not quit. To keep running. Then I, as millions before me, will see the desire of my heart.

Don't tell me the mountain is too high for me! Of course it is! But I know Who has promised me. Read my Bible studies at www.Saltshaker.US, if you think there are Noninvolvement Theologies against me that make the mountain so much huger than I suspect! I have learned them through conversations with hundreds of pastors. If I have missed one, let me know!

No matter! It shall fall, just as surely as God keeps His promises! I shall have the fellowship I desire! Sincere souls drowning in sin shall receive warning and help. Whether by many or by few, 1 Samuel 14:6.

I would like to have it with you.

In Jesus' Name (Col 3:17)



Dave Leach

Candidate for Iowa Senate, District 31 <> Paid for by Friends of Freedom for Dave Leach