## Anatomy of a Rumor

The Rumor: "Dave Leach's ignorance about AIDS, thinking it is transmitted by shaking hands, is an argument for more AIDS education." (Meaning, in this political climate, from Kindergarten on.)

- Page Contents below: (Dave Leach's comments and analysis are interleaved)
- 1 Jennifer Jacobs' 9/8/10 article accurately stating the facts
- 3 Rekha Basu's 9/10/10 opinion piece displacing the facts with the above rumor.
- 5 Jan Mickelson's response to her similar attack on him.
- 8 The comment stream after Basu's hit piece.
- 20 A 9/25/10 "letter to the editor" by Dr. Claire, growing the rumor.
- 20 The comment stream after Dr. Claire's post.

#### Introduction

6

Jennifer Jacobs, of the Des Moines Register, wrote a very accurate article (see directly below) about my website article analyzing my Iowa Senate opponent Matt McCoy's implied offer to debate me. (See www.Saltshaker.US/Leach2010 click on "news", then "debate".)

But Des Moines Redstar opinionist RecklessWithTruth, whose name coincidentally sounds very much like "Rekha Basu", isn't into accuracy.

Her attacks are mean, but she doesn't necessarily attack what her victims have said. Where that provides her too weak a case for her liking, she accuses her victims of saying what they may have actually refuted. She is self righteous: she definitely does not believe in "relativism" - that is, "your religion may be right for you..." at least not as applied to her "tolerance" for the convictions of her victims.

It has taken me many hours to organize all this stuff and respond to it. Is it worth all this? After I do it, will anyone watch it, or care? I think even if no one did, I think it is important for each of us to take seriously what our critics say about us, and to weigh, with words, if any of it is true, ready to change our lives or our ideas accordingly, if it is.

Des Moines Register Staff Blogs - http://blogs.desmoinesregister.com/dmr -

# Matt McCoy to Senate opponent: Whether someone has HIV shouldn't matter

Posted By Jennifer Jacobs On September 8, 2010 @ 12:07 pm In Iowa Politics Insider, News Dave Leach of Des Moines, a Republican candidate for Iowa Senate

Republican Dave Leach says he "fell on the floor" each time he saw television commercials that raise questions about whether his Iowa Senate opponent is HIV positive.

In response, state Sen. Matt McCoy, D-Des Moines, said today he doesn't understand Leach's reaction to the anti-stigma advertisements, and that it shouldn't matter whether or not he has the infection.

The advertisements by the Iowa HIV Alliance feature McCoy and other prominent Iowans

saying HIV won't stop them from interacting with people.

"HIV won't stop me from shaking hands with my constituents," McCoy says in the ad.

Then a female voiceover says: "Whether HIV positive or HIV negative, we are talented, hardworking and lovable. We are neighbors, providers, family and friends. HIV is not the sum of who we are."

Leach said in a statement on his website Tuesday: "I heard that commercial so many times, the doctor had me install a seat belt on my sofa. Was McCoy actually telling us that he has HIV, but he is willing to accept the risk of giving it to us by shaking our hands?"

He added: "I am dying of curiosity to know what he meant."

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention website states that HIV is not spread by "casual contact like shaking hands or sharing dishes."

It has been previously reported that McCoy doesn't have HIV.

When the Register asked him about it this morning, he said he has decided purposefully not to answer that question.

"We don't care if people think we do or don't," McCoy said. "The point is we're just about destigmatizing this disease, and ultimately creating conversation and awareness about it."

Also featured in the ads are Bankers Trust CEO Suku Radia, Des Moines Mayor Frank Cownie, the Rev. Mark Stringer, Des Moines Register columnist Rekha Basu, retired businessman David Hurd, as well as everyday Iowans who are identified as a "person living with HIV."

McCoy said: "I didn't really understand his comments about laughing on his couch about people with HIV. I don't really know what he was trying to say. I don't see the humor in it."

In a telephone interview this afternoon, Leach said he's not laughing – he's astonished that someone would imply he doesn't mind giving people HIV. "I've talked to others who also perceived that," he said.

Leach hasn't been shy about expressing his opposition to homosexuality. He refers to himself on his campaign website as an "out-of-the-closet Christian" and calls McCoy "a marriage-diluting sodomite."

Leach's statements Tuesday at www.saltshaker.us/Leach2010 [2] were part of a written commentary on whether or not he and McCoy will debate each other.

He wrote: "One thing I would love to ask McCoy, which perhaps I will finally be able to ask during the debate. It's a small thing on the great stage of state issues, but I am dying of curiosity about it. In those endless commercials about AIDS, I fell on the floor every time McCoy said 'HIV will not keep me from shaking hands with my constituents." I heard that commercial so many times, the doctor had me install a seat belt on my sofa. Was McCoy actually telling us that he has HIV, but he is willing to accept the risk of giving it to us by shaking our hands? In trying to think of a more favorable interpretation, I considered whether he meant he will not let the possibility of some of his constituents having HIV keep him from shaking hands with them; but I had to rule that out, since that is so much the opposite of which direction the disease would be most likely to travel, because that is the least of the ways he is likely to acquire it, that to mean that would be callous, and I don't think he is that kind of guy. I apologize if there is some third interpretation which makes sense and is what he meant, but I am dying of curiosity to know what he meant, so I just want to ask."

In the telephone interview today, Leach said: "I wouldn't say that is a man is disqualified from serving in the Legislature because he has a disease," but "homosexuality ought to be restrained by law."

Leach said his opposition to homosexuality and Iowa's gay marriage law aren't his only campaign issues. He also has strong opposition to abortion, "stupid spending" and waste in the education system, he said.

#### Basu: Let's put shame where it belongs

REKHA BASU • rbasu@dmreg.com • September 10, 2010

It's time to have a conversation about shame, and who deserves it. This has become an issue lately, as two local notables have been telling their audiences that people with HIV infection deserve whatever shame and stigma they get.

[Ed: What a vivid imagination RecklessWithTruth has! Jan and I said what Romans 1:27 says, and what everyone knows and which not even Reckless will deny: that AIDS is a likely consequence of sodomy. Neither of us said anything about "deserving" it; nor would we. We both know Jesus warns in Luke 13:1-5 that we all *deserve* whatever happens to anyone! Neither of us said anything about "stigma"! Reckless just makes this stuff up! She didn't read it anywhere. She didn't call me to double check anything. Reckless Bazooka is Reckless with the truth, not appearing to care if anything she says is true. She can't back up any of this stuff. Furthermore, both of us made clear what everyone already knows: that some people get AIDS who are not sodomites. Which I give for a "legitimate state interest" the state has for controlling sodomy in a way that reduces the spread of disease.

[But I do thank Reckless for calling me a "local notable". It beats "terrorist" or "right wing fanatic". I wonder if Reckless is slipping, to accidentally let a kind appellation in there?]

One is a talk radio host who has called homosexuality a sexual disorder, gay behavior stupid and gay people, even married ones, promiscuous. The other is a state Senate candidate running against a gay incumbent whom he calls a "marriage-diluting sodomite," suggesting he has AIDS and could spread it by shaking hands.

[Ed: I am encouraged that Reckless could not find anything I had said that she could criticize, but had to make up things I never said in order to have something to slam. That builds my confidence that my actual words are above criticism. I never said Matt McCoy has AIDS, or that AIDS can be spread by shaking hands. I very clearly said the opposite, and my statement was faithfully reprinted by Register writer Jennifer Jacobs September 8. It was Matt McCoy who appeared to say that, in a really weird TV commercial. I merely asked publicly if that is what he really meant to imply. He refused to answer whether he has AIDS, when Jennifer asked him, and his answer about the meaning of his statement was that he intended it to be ambiguous! Well, OK, McCoy intends to plant the thought in his voter's heads that he might have AIDS, but that won't keep him from shaking hands with everybody, and then he intends to keep everyone guessing whether that is what he meant! OK, Matt, if that's what makes life exciting for you. Fine.

[Now of course, I did describe Matt as a "marriage diluting sodomite". At least Reckless gave me credit for saying something kind about Matt. Matt got a sodomite award for his "eloquent advocacy" 5 years ago in killing the Traditional Marriage Amendment, so I know Matt is proud that he was able to dilute marriage. And of course the word "sodomite" expresses love, since it is the word choice of God, whom everyone knows is a "God of Love".]

Jan Mickelson and David Leach have been responding to a series of ads sponsored by the AIDS Project of Central Iowa in which I played a role. They feature a variety of Iowans, some known, some unknown, saying that whether or not someone has AIDS won't prevent our associating with them.

[Ed: This is very interesting, that Reckless now attempts to explain the real meaning of those outrageously ambiguous ads. She says here that the scenario is someone else having AIDS, and the person speaking is determined to "associate with them" anyway. Since this interpretation is far from clear in the ads, and was not the interpretation Matt gave in Jennifer Jacobs' article, and since Reckless suffers no pangs of conscience when she puts words in my mouth, I'm a bit leery of trusting Reckless' interpretation here. Besides she rejects this interpretation in her next sentence, where she agrees with McCoy that the ads are deliberately "ambiguous".]

Each of us begins a sentence with "HIV won't stop me from..." Sen. Matt McCoy, whom Leach is challenging, continues "shaking hands with my constituents." I say, "putting a human face on people

who test positive." The ambiguity about which of us has it underscores that "HIV is not the sum of who we are."

But Mickelson claims HIV does discriminate - against gays.

[Ed: Mickelson said sodomy increases the risk of HIV in the same way smoking increases the risk of lung cancer. Duh. Is this what Reckless disputes? Will Reckless say this is not true? Or is Reckless's point that whether it is true doesn't matter, because she doesn't like it?]

As for Leach, he wrote on his website that he fell on the floor each time he heard McCoy's ad. He suggested McCoy must have HIV based on "which direction the disease would be most likely to travel," and if so, would put people at risk by shaking their hands.

[Ed: Matt McCoy told Jennifer Jacobs that he assumed I meant I "fell on the floor" laughing, so Jennifer asked me about it – a carefulness I find rare in a reporter, and for which I respect her. I clarified and she faithfully reported that my humor was about falling down in astonishment. I did not "suggest" anything about McCoy's health. I attempted to analyze whether that is what McCoy suggested. You know, if these people want to deliberate confuse the public about what they mean, fine. I can let them play their game. But when they accuse me for trying to guess what they mean, it stops being as much fun.]

One would have hoped by now everyone knew HIV isn't transmitted by hand-shaking and isn't a gay disease, ...

[Ed: Don't worry, Reckless. Everyone is on board with you on this point, other than the figments of your imagination which you have created in order to have a column for the week.]

...but one which everyone must take precautions against. Clear Channel Communications, which operates WHO Radio, where Mickelson's show airs, took the unusual step of saying on air that he had made factual errors "regarding HIV/AIDS, its spread and current efforts to inform the public about this disease." But Mickelson is unrepentant.

[Ed: Maybe he is "unrepentant", because neither Clear Channel, nor the Des Moines Register, nor Matt McCoy, specified a single detail upon which they disagreed with Jan?]

I've written about people with AIDS from Iowa to Ethiopia. There was the 53-year-old straight ad company executive who learned she had contracted it on a safari to Kenya years earlier, when injected by a contaminated needle. There was the gifted gay New York Times reporter who died at 39, after coming out publicly and beginning to write about others with AIDS. "At times I think my fellow AIDS sufferers are laughing at me, looking up from their beds with eyes that say, 'You'll be here soon enough'," he wrote.

There was the 27-year-old heterosexual Iowa couple. He was wracked with guilt that he may have unknowingly given it to her after contracting it during a nine-month gap in their eight-year relationship.

And there was the Ethiopian couple engaged to be married who learned, in my presence, that he was HIV positive, so the engagement had to be broken. All of these people were on my mind when I was asked to take part in the ad campaign. I jumped at the chance to help break down prejudices.

Stigma kills.

[Ed: How can someone think so upside down and still keep a job as a writer? Reckless' stories do not support the theory that stigma kills, but that promiscuity kills! Stigma saves, by discouraging promiscuity! A "nine month gap" in faithfulness should not be the object of anyone's "prejudice"? We should free ourselves of any "stigma" by which we discourage "gifted" men dying young?]

That's what I learned from the ad executive. She wanted to put a human face on HIV so other women would be less scared of coming forward. Studies show women are a third likelier to die than men in the same time period - maybe because, fearing disapproval, they get diagnosed and treated later. "I let this virus [make] an intelligent, creative, artistic, fearless (I thought) woman become someone who was so pathetically afraid," she wrote me.

When you consider these stories in light of the horribly offensive and irresponsible things being

said, you see where the shame really belongs - and it's not with the AIDS patients.

## Jan Mickelson Bites Back

By Jan Mickelson, posted at WHOradio.com Mon, 13 September 2010

### Rekha Redux

Rekha Basu...Des Moines Register columnist, last week decided to weigh in on the latest wrinkle in the gay rights scam. She personally attacked me in her column.

This latest round is in response to a segment on my program a few weeks ago dealing with the CDC's most recent stats on AIDS in the USA and who is most vulnerable.

I did a short think piece on this. I won't repeat the details, but you can hear it for yourself.

I don't normally respond to this kind of stuff, but Reka's column is so fundamentally dishonest and cheesy, it merits a forensic dissection. It is a model of ham-fisted propaganda tactics....Gay Propaganda 101

In the late 80's I interviewed Marshall Kirk who wrote a book called "After the Ball: How America will Conquer its fear a & hatred of Gays in the 90's"

It's the tactical textbook of the gay lobby describing the techniques intended to work us over. They worked beyond his wildest expectations. (BTW he died of AIDS)

The tactics? Desensitization, jamming and conversion... Brainwashing tactics 101.

He wrote, "All normal persons feel shame when they perceive that they are not thinking, feeling or acting like one of the pack...the trick is to get the "bigot" (anyone who disagrees with them) into the position of feeling a conflicting twinge of shame...thus propagandistic advertisements can depict homophobic bigots as crude loudmouths...it can show them criticized, hated , shunned "

"Our effect is achieved" wrote, Kirk, "without reference to facts, logic or proof..." Get that part? ...without reference to facts, logic, or proof.."

How?

"Portray gays as victims of circumstance and oppression, not as aggressive challengers. In any campaign to win over the public, gays must be portrayed as victims in need of protection so that straights will not be inclined to refuse to adopt the role of protector...we must forego the temptation to strut our gay pride publicly to such and extent that we will undermine our victim image."

So...pop quiz.

If you think gay stereo types on TV are funny, then you've been de-sensitized...or if you think that not caring about this issue makes you sophisticated, then you've been de-sensitized.

If when you hear me use the term "sodomist" instead of the politically correct term "gay", you feel a twinge of "oooo...that's a little too Fred Phelps," then you've been jammed.

Or if you feel shame if someone compares your opposition to same gender marriage to racism, then you've been jammed.

If you've ever donated to the Matthew Shepard Scholarship fund, then you've been converted. And if you think it's ok that gay propaganda is served up in the public schools to your kids with

your tax dollars: the, you've been desensitized, jammed, converted and shafted. Welcome to Iowa.

So with that background in mind, I'll dissect Rekha's column.

"Basu: Let's put shame where it belongs"

Yup, right out of Marshall's textbook... shame those who don't share your position...

note....without logic or facts.

"It's time to have a conversation about shame, and who deserves it. This has become an issue lately, as two local notables have been telling their audiences that people with HIV infection deserve whatever shame and stigma they get."

This isn't a propaganda point. This is an old fashioned downright lie. I never said that. If Mr. Leach did, he can defend himself. As a matter of fact, in my commentary I went out my way to criticize a politician for talking like this. Perhaps you missed that part.

[Ed: As noted above, I never said that either.]

"One is a talk radio host who has called homosexuality a sexual disorder, gay behavior stupid and gay people, even married ones, promiscuous."

Rekha, what exactly is "gay behavior"? Are you saying it is smart or safe? Do you think a bogus marriage license is as good as a condom? Perhaps you should re-read the most recent report by the CDC.

I don't see an asterisk for a footnote exempting MSM as the main risk group in the United States if accompanied by a marriage license. If I missed something you'll point it out I'm sure.

"The other is a state Senate candidate running against a gay incumbent whom he calls a "marriage-diluting sodomite," suggesting he has AIDS and could spread it by shaking hands."

This is the classic guilt by association fallacy. I didn't say it or imply it. Ever.

[Ed: RecklessWithTruth's error is not "guilt by association". She does not accuse me of saying what Jan said, or vice versa. She does not suggest that either of us are a threat to mankind because of the other. She accuses both of us of saying what neither of us said.]

"Jan Mickelson and David Leach have been responding to a series of ads sponsored by the AIDS Project of Central Iowa in which I played a role. They feature a variety of Iowans, some known, some unknown, saying that whether or not someone has AIDS won't prevent our associating with them. Each of us begins a sentence with "HIV won't stop me from..." Sen. Matt McCoy, whom Leach is challenging, continues "shaking hands with my constituents." I say, "putting a human face on people who test positive." The ambiguity about which of us has it underscores that "HIV is not the sum of who we are.""

Again, take up your complaints with Mr. Leach.

"But Mickelson claims HIV does discriminate - against gays."

Here's the CDC's most recent report. Perhaps you can shame them next.

A Snapshot from the CDC

MSM account for nearly half of the more than one million people living with HIV in the U.S. (48%, or an estimated 532,000 total persons).

MSM account for more than half of all new HIV infections in the U.S. each year (53%, or an estimated 28,700 infections).

While CDC estimates that MSM account for just 4 percent of the U.S. male population aged 13 and older, the rate of new HIV diagnoses among MSM in the U.S. is more than 44 times that of other men (range: 522–989 per 100,000 MSM vs. 12 per 100,000 other men).

MSM is the only risk group in the U.S. in which new HIV infections are increasing. While new infections have declined among both heterosexuals and injection drug users, the annual number of new HIV infections among MSM has been steadily increasing since the early 1990s.

"As for Leach, he wrote on his website that he fell on the floor each time he heard McCoy's ad. He suggested McCoy must have HIV based on "which direction the disease would be most likely to travel," and if so, would put people at risk by shaking their hands".

Again, take it up with him.

[Ed: Jan, I think she did. The words she made up to stuff in my mouth, she did not stuff in yours; she made up entirely unique words to stuff in yours.]

"One would have hoped by now everyone knew HIV isn't transmitted by hand-shaking and isn't a gay disease, but one which everyone must take precautions against. Clear Channel Communications, which operates WHO Radio, where Mickelson's show airs, took the unusual step of saying on air that he had made factual errors "regarding HIV/AIDS, its spread and current efforts to inform the public about this disease." But Mickelson is unrepentant."

Since I never said HIV is transmitted by shaking hands nor did I say HIV is a gay disease, (listen to the segment) repentance doesn't come to mind. I did neglect to mention the other risk groups the CDC listed in their report... minority men and women and intravenous drug users. That may have left the impression that MSM was the only risk group I'm concerned about. I'll be more precise in the future.

"I've written about people with AIDS from Iowa to Ethiopia. There was the 53-year-old straight ad company executive who learned she had contracted it on a safari to Kenya years earlier, when injected by a contaminated needle. There was the gifted gay New York Times reporter who died at 39, after coming out publicly and beginning to write about others with AIDS. "At times I think my fellow AIDS sufferers are laughing at me, looking up from their beds with eyes that say, 'You'll be here soon enough'," he wrote.

There was the 27-year-old heterosexual Iowa couple. He was wracked with guilt that he may have unknowingly given it to her after contracting it during a nine-month gap in their eight-year relationship. And there was the Ethiopian couple engaged to be married who learned, in my presence, that he was HIV positive, so the engagement had to be broken. All of these people were on my mind when I was asked to take part in the ad campaign. I jumped at the chance to help break down prejudices.

Stigma kills. That's what I learned from the ad executive. She wanted to put a human face on HIV so other women would be less scared of coming forward. Studies show women are a third likelier to die than men in the same time period - maybe because, fearing disapproval, they get diagnosed and treated later. "I let this virus [make] an intelligent, creative, artistic, fearless (I thought) woman become someone who was so pathetically afraid," she wrote me".

This is Marshall's Gay Propaganda Tactic 101-B

"...portray gays as victims of circumstances and oppression...must be portrayed as victims in need of protection..."

I was speaking about the majority of risk behaviors as reported by the CDC. This is just an attempt to change the subject.

So if I report the CDC's findings accurately, then I'm attacking some poor black woman in Ethiopia. Now I'm a white , imperialist racist oppressor of third world minorities.

Nice.

Rev Al Sharpton couldn't have done it better.

Just to restate the facts, the CDC report was about the USA risk patterns, not a report on the third world. This is another attempt to change the subject.

Plus, the largest risk group is the MSM group and the group is growing...not women from the third world. My discourse was about MSM risk group...not the accidental victims of dirty needles, or the women victims of promiscuous husbands. The MSM risk group was the clear focus of my comments. Rekha again equivocates victims with perpetrators, both a fallacy and another cheesy tactic....

"...gays must be portrayed as victims...."

"When you consider these stories in light of the horribly offensive and irresponsible things being said, you see where the shame really belongs - and it's not with the AIDS patients."

Gay activists are get paid to be offended. It's a tactic. And for the record I never mentioned AIDS patients, or any other kind for that matter. I just listed risky behavior. The same risky behaviors listed by the CDC. Perhaps that's the responsible thing to do.

I said people should quit smoking... I didn't shame anyone with lung cancer.

I suggested people should cut down on drinking... I shamed no one who is an alcoholic.

I suggested people with weight issues (my hand is up here) should lose some weight. I shamed no one with diabetes or heart disease.

I suggested, given the stark correlation between HIV and MSM, that some concern was merited.

For the record, this dust up has nothing to do with anything I said about AIDS. This has everything to do with the upcoming election. This has to do with the retention vote on for three of Iowa's Supreme Court Justices because of their same gender marriage "vote".

I've been told to my face, on the phone, and by email, by gay activists, "You try to take out our judges, we take you out"

I believe them. Simple as that.

And as Rekha demonstrates, posturing, lying, deflecting, equivocating, are the shameful tactics of propagandists.

The problem for Rekha is that I read the play-book. I know all of her moves before she makes them.

Remember Gay Propaganda 101 "...our effect is achieved," wrote, Kirk, "without reference to facts, logic or proof..." Get that part? ...without reference to facts, logic, or proof..."

Rekha your effect wasn't achieved with me. Category:general -- posted at: 12:52 PM Comments[3]

## **Comment Stream**

I have color coded the following comments. Where a comment contains no information about the issue, but is only the application of the writer's intelligence to the greatest possible dehumanization, (otherwise known as a "personal attack" or "shooting the messenger"), I have put that in blue, and with a smaller font. I was curious to see, once I did that, how much would survive in black. Also I wanted a way to conveniently skip the cheap insults, and find any informative content. Or, perhaps some readers will rather skip over the content, and use this code to conveniently find really first rate insults, in order to bone up on their insulting skills. I chose blue because my grandmother used to call cursing "blue language". It is only a coincidence that it is the color associated with Democrats.

<u>infidel\_crusader wrote:</u> Jan Mickelson is factually correct and politically incorrect. Clear Channel bowed to pressure from the gender cornfuzed. 9/10/2010 5:36:06 AM

JimRobinson3 wrote: The Lady Basu speaks the truth when pointing out the certain pig-ignorant fools refuse to accept medical facts when it flies in the face of their narrow-minded, bigoted world views. Jan is and always will be a twit, a blowhard, a doddering old fool. You can show a moron like him all the empirical data you want, but they are so myopic that all they will ever see is what they WANT to see. Jan and his followers equates the blind leading the blind; they go nowhere, forever lost in their own stupidity. 9/10/2010 7:12:55 AM

[Ed: There is no dispute over medical facts. Reckless With Truth does not say the medical facts, as Jan and I, and Romans 1:27, present them, are not true. She presents medical facts which do not disagree with anything Jan and I, or God, have said, but which only disagree with the words she has made up and stuffed in our mouths. Interesting thing about Jim Robinson: when I was a young teenager at Park Avenue Christian Church, he was the youth leader, and one of my favorite people. A really fun guy. I've met him a couple of times recently, and have learned to my disappointment that we have grown far apart politically. However, he did not seem inclined to talk to me about it beyond giving me that impression, so I have no details.]

**infidel\_crusader** wrote: Replying to JimRobinson3 (who wrote: The Lady Basu speaks the truth when pointing out the certain pig-ignorant fools refuse to accept medical facts when it flies in the face of their narrow-minded, bigoted world views. Jan is and always will be a twit, a blowhard, a doddering old fool. You can show a moron like him all the empirical data you want, but they are so myopic that all they will ever see is what they WANT to see. Jan and his followers equates the blind leading the blind; they go nowhere, forever lost in their own stupidity.)

I always get a kick out of when you far left wing liberals pretend to be "conservatives"..you

really aren't fooling anybody..you never did..you never have... 9/10/2010 7:32:06 AM

<u>SmokyPatriot</u> wrote: Replying to infidel\_crusader (who wrote: "Jan Mickelson is factually correct and politically incorrect. Clear Channel bowed to pressure from the gender cornfuzed.")

Ummm...I'm guessing that you don't know what "factually" means based upon your use of it. 9/10/2010 7:54:17 AM

**provideproof** wrote: Replying to SmokyPatriot (who wrote: Ummm...I'm guessing that you don't know what "factually" means based upon your use of it. (when SmokyPatriot was replying to infidel\_crusader who had written): Jan Mickelson is factually correct and politically incorrect. Clear Channel bowed to pressure from the gender cornfuzed.)

"Factually" contains, and is based on, the word "FACT". This is something Bobo here has never seen nor concerned himself with. The worst thing is that these idiots like Mickelson and Leach and Bobo are too stupid to be ashamed. 9/10/2010 8:25:50 AM

Infidel\_crusader wrote: (Replying to JimRobinson3:) Replying to infidel\_crusader:A TRUE 'conservative' is NOT a bigot. A TRUE conservative believes the Constitution protects every citizens rights, even knuckle-dragging neanderthals like you. A TRUE conservative sees to it that those who may be persecuted by bigoted idiots are protected regardless of their religion, ethnic background, or medical condition. I KNOW that this concept is lost on you, its hard to hear when under the cover of a pointy headed white sheet>

In typical liberal fashion you attempt to rewrite history and definations. It's quite clear you have zero knowledge of what true Conservatism is and like liberals do, you resort to the same talking points of KKK, Bigot, Racist, etc etc etc....

You claim to be a believer in Ronald Reagan..yet everything you ever say is in direct opposition to what he said and believed...

like I said...you don't fool anybody sparky...except maybe yourself.. 9/10/2010 8:31:37 AM

**Infidel\_crusader** Wrote: (Replying to provide proof who wrote "*Factually*' contains, and is based on, the word 'FACT'. This is something Bobo here has never seen nor concerned himself with. The worst thing is that these idiots like Mickelson and Leach and Bobo are too stupid to be ashamed." in reply to SmokyPatriot who wrote "Ummm…I'm guessing that you don't know what "factually" means based upon your use of it." when Replying to infidel\_crusader who wrote "Jan Mickelson is factually correct and politically incorrect. Clear Channel bowed to pressure from the gender cornfuzed.")

getting AIDS/HIV is almost exclusively based on one's BEHAVIOR. Don't engage in risky sex, especially gay sex, or sex with IV drug abusers and stay in a monogamous relationship and you have about a ZERO percent chance of becoming infected..

Thats called BEHAVIOR SPARKY..try for once accepting personal responsibility for your own BEHAVIOR.. 9/10/2010 8:33:19 AM

**infidel\_crusader** WTOTE: (in response to SmokyPatriot and infidel\_crusader who had written "Jan Mickelson is factually correct and politically incorrect. Clear Channel bowed to pressure from the gender cornfuzed." and "Ummm...I'm guessing that you don't know what "factually" means based upon your use of it.")

ahh so in the absence of you being able to refute anythign with facts...you attempt to become a grammar nazi....HAHAHAHA 9/10/2010 8:34:06 AM

GoMX2008 wrote: Last week Basu closed out her column on free speech by saying,

"Part of me would love to see the book thrown at Operation Rescue and the Phelpses for the hurt they cause. But then I think of all those I may have hurt while taking stands I thought were for justice. Sorry as I am, I don't want a court telling me I can't condemn a batterer who violates a no-contact order or a law-enforcement agency that let him. Those are the lines that ultimately could get too blurred.

"Does that mean we let bullies and hate-mongers off the hook? No. The burden falls on community groups, news organizations, schools and the like to reject what is vile, unjust and untrue, and to marginalize its purveyors. It's not foolproof, but the alternative comes at too great a cost."

The same could be said about the despicable radio and sidewalk preacher-politicians who are bullies and hate-mongers. Let's hear it for bravery in communities, news, schools, AND churches who reject vile pronouncements. HEAR – HEAR! 9/10/2010 8:40:31 AM

[Ed: I agree with RecklessWithTruth that courts do a bad job of discerning what is true. Not that "news organizations", in which Reckless claims membership, do a better job! But consider what she has done with misquoting me and Jan, and imagine giving that kind of journalistic sloppiness police powers, and you have a picture of tyranny as much of the world experiences it.]

**royced57** wrote: AIDS is much easier to contract being on the receiving end of anal sex. That's just a medical fact.

On a less controversial note, circumcised males are less likely to transmit or get the disease.

Now, I say that being a supporter of full Gay Rights including Gay Marriage. Bigots can uses facts to press their bigoted agenda. But that does not make the facts any less than facts.

As far as stigma goes - Ms Basu is being an utter hypocrite here. She's all for social stigma... at least for the leftist type that she likes. 9/10/2010 9:02:09 AM

[Ed: Is it really true, that a strong supporter of sodomite marriage will at least concede the undisputed facts? Whew! Would that were out of the way, so that the discussion can resume on the real issues!]

**provideproof** Wrote: (Replying to infidel\_crusader who wrote: "getting AIDS/HIV is almost exclusively based on one's BEHAVIOR. Don't engage in risky sex, especially gay sex, or sex with IV drug abusers and stay in a monogamous relationship and you have about a ZERO percent chance of becoming infected...Thats called BEHAVIOR SPARKY..try for once accepting personal responsibility for your own BEHAVIOR...)

Hey Bobo, why don't you go out to the bars (straight bars) downtown on a Friday and/or Saturday night and tell me what all the people are doing there. They're trying to hook up with someone. Are they getting their sexual and drug history when they do this? No. There are many more heterosexuals trying to hook up than there are homosexuals. But you keep spreading your ignorance, there are a few out there that might believe you. But most of them have mental disorders. 9/10/2010 9:25:42 AM

[Ed: I don't think "provideproof" read what he, she, or it is replying to. "infidel\_crusader" acknowledged that all "risky sex" can be a source of AIDS, and that the only real safety is in a "monogamous relationship".]

**provideproof** Wrote: (Replying to infidel\_crusader...SmokyPatriot...infidel\_crusader who wrote "Jan Mickelson is factually correct and politically incorrect. Clear Channel bowed to pressure from the gender cornfuzed." "Ummm...I'm guessing that you don't know what "factually" means based upon your use of it." "ahh so in the absence of you being able to refute anythign with facts...you attempt to become a grammar nazi....HAHAHAHA")

Wow, the ignorance abounds with you. The post had nothing to do with "grammar", it had to do with you saying Mickelson is factually correct. Duh. LOL. Sometimes I really pity you. 9/10/2010 9:27:57 AM

**EricaCrenshaw** wrote: WHO-AM used to be THE source for news and information in central Iowa. Unfortunately, it has defecated down to simply being a delivery system for hatred and misinformation. WHO now stands for We Hate Others 9/10/2010 9:29:43 AM

**JimRobinson3** Wrote: (Replying to infidel\_crusader: who had replied to JimRobinson3 who wrote "In typical liberal fashion you attempt to rewrite history and definations. It's quite clear you have zero knowledge of what true Conservatism is and like liberals do, you resort to the same talking points of KKK, Bigot, Racist, etc etc etc....You claim to be a believer in Ronald Reagan..like I said...you don't fool anybody sparky...except maybe yourself..")

"We must never remain silent in the face of bigotry. We must condemn those who seek to divide us. In all quarters and at all times, we must teach tolerance and denounce racism, anti-Semitism, and all ethnic or religious bigotry wherever they exist as unacceptable evils. We have no place for haters in America -- none, whatsoever." Remarks to Members of the Congregation of Temple Hillel and Jewish Community Leaders in Valley Stream, New York October 26, 1984

Ive forgotten more about Reagan than you will ever hope to understand. Schmuck. 9/10/2010 9:42:11 AM

fidelis wrote: Los Angeles Gay & Lesbian Center (2006): "HIV/AIDS is a gay disease."

Center for Disease Control (CDC) (2005): "the proportion of men age 15-29 who engage in homosexual activity who have unrecognized HIV infection is as high as 77%."

UPI report on Public Health Office statement: 75% of all cases of HIV infections are found in men who engage in homosexual activity."

2005: U.S. health officials reported that the HIV infection rate rose 8% in just one year among homosexuals.

CDC report (2005): "The majority of women infected with HIV is due to high risk sexual behavior with bisexual men."

Eastern Psychological Convention: Median age at death for homosexual men with AIDS=39;

#### Median age at death for homosexual men without AIDS=42; Median age at death for lesbians=44 Looks like Mickelson was right. 9/10/2010 9:44:03 AM

[Ed: notice that for the entire remainder of this comment stream NO ONE pays any attention to these facts, either to agree with or to refute them, except for one who marvels that no one pays any attention to them!]

**infidel\_crusader** Wrote: (Replying to JimRobinson3 who, in replying to infidel\_crusader, said: "We must never remain silent in the face of bigotry. We must condemn those who seek to divide us. In all quarters and at all times, we must teach tolerance and denounce racism, anti-Semitism, and all ethnic or religious bigotry wherever they exist as unacceptable evils. We have no place for haters in America -- none, whatsoever." Remarks to Members of the Congregation of Temple Hillel and Jewish Community Leaders in Valley Stream, New York October 26, 1984")

What was Reagans approach to gays and gay marriage sparky? Pretty pathetic attempt by you, a far left wing supporter of the homosexual agenda, to take Reagans comments totally out of context. Typical liberal tactic and you have been BUSTED for it sparky.... 9/10/2010 10:23:13 AM

[Ed: Robinson had not said, earlier, that these quotes were from Reagan, and I didn't figure it out, without the help of this post. This post raises another question: why don't conservatives equate, as liberals do, "racism, anti-Semitism, and all ethnic or religious bigotry" with our agreement with God that sodomy is sin?

[On a secular level, the distinction is explained in Martin Luther King's "I Have a Dream" speech, in which he said "I have a dream that a man will be judged, not by the color of his skin, but by the content of his character." Sodomites, by contrast, insist "the content of their character" not be noticed either. Of course sodomites love to assert that "my genes made me this way". If this is true, then I look forward to the day when our Big Brother technology will add, to fingerprints, retina scans, palm prints, and facial recognition cameras, a gene checker that people have to pass through, to make sure no sodomites get in. But it is not true. For understanding of why God is so against sodomy, yet for blacks, see www.saltshaker.us/BibleStudies/BenefitsOfSodomyLaws\_Adultery.pdf]

**infidel\_crusader** Wrote: (Replying to provide proof...infidel\_crusader...SmokyPatriot...infidel\_crusader, who said "Jan Mickelson is factually correct and politically incorrect. Clear Channel bowed to pressure from the gender cornfuzed."... "Ummm...I'm guessing that you don't know what "factually" means based upon your use of it." ... "ahh so in the absence of you being able to refute anythign with facts...you attempt to become a grammar nazi.....HAHAHAHA" ... "Wow, the ignorance abounds with you. The post had nothing to do with "grammar", it had to do with you saying Mickelson is factually correct. Duh. LOL. Sometimes I really pity you.")

once again you have zero FACTS to refute Mickelson..thats because you CAN'T....back to your lego's sparky... 9/10/2010 10:25:12 AM

vietvet1000 wrote: The question is does Basu have the same sympathy for smoking related diseases as she does for AIDS? They are both self inflicted for the majority of victims. 9/10/2010 10:33:20 AM

**WormRancher** wrote: I don't think I ever heard of David Leach until today and I never thought about Matt McCoy being HIV positive until today. Rekha; some things in life should just be ignored. Kinda like that preacher in Gainesville, Florida. 9/10/2010 11:05:12 AM

[Ed: If you can persuade Reckless to ignore me, that will be fine with me. I am not so eager for publicity that I will encourage publication of lies, just so long as they spell my name correctly. I earnestly want the public to focus on God's truths, but I don't care about publicity for myself. If I really wanted publicity, in my senate campaign opposing Senator McCoy, I would change my name to Hatfield.]

<u>MrClean</u> wrote: (*Replying to ManitobaSnowplow who wrote, replying to vietvet1000 who said* "The Sodomy Lobby is intense and has and will silence all comers....")

You're crackin' me up. 9/10/2010 11:11:18 AM

<u>royced57</u> wrote: Hey, I love sodomy - broadly defined in it's hetreosexual manifestations. So sign me up for the lobby! 9/10/2010 11:20:39 AM

<u>infidel\_crusader</u> wrote: I know I'd NEVER shake McCoys hand because I have no idea where it's been.....9/10/2010 11:38:41 AM

**Skeeterbait** Wrote: (Replying to WormRancher who wrote: "I don't think I ever heard of David Leach until today and I never thought about Matt McCoy being HIV positive until today. Rekha; some things in life should just be ignored. Kinda like that preacher in Gainesville, Florida.")

But that would be contrary to the mission Basu has been charged with by her handlers at Gannett; to polarize Americans into purely emotional responses that build newspaper sales and gets those ads seen. 9/10/2010 11:47:12 AM

**WormRancher** wrote: (Replying to Skeeterbait & WormRancher who wrote "I don't think I ever heard of David Leach until today and I never thought about Matt McCoy being HIV positive until today. Rekha; some things in life should just be ignored. Kinda like that preacher in Gainesville, Florida." ... "But that would be contrary to the mission Basu has been charged with by her handlers at Gannett; to polarize Americans into purely emotional responses that build newspaper sales and gets those ads seen.")

Granted. It may be that the local advertising dollar is at the bottom of this snit. The Des Moines Register and Clear Channel station WHO are in direct competition for the same dollar. It never hurts to throw a little dirt on the competition and hope for the best as a sidebar to her promoting hate through diversity column. 9/10/2010 12:38:06 PM

<u>MHaddon</u> wrote: (*Replying to infidel\_crusader who wrote "I know I'd NEVER shake McCoys hand because I have no idea where it's been....."*)

I am sure Mr McCoy and those of us who read your comments will be able to deal with not being allowed to shake your hand.

Me, I made it this far without ever having head lice, being tossed into a pit of rabid ferrets, or having Ann Coulter grind one of her stilleto heels into my eyeball. I will survive and so will others. 9/10/2010 1:07:03 PM

**MHaddon** wrote: (*Replying to royced57 who wrote "Hey, I love sodomy - broadly defined in it's hetreosexual manifestations. So sign me up for the lobby!"*)

You may be aware anti-sodomy laws in Texas were struck down because it was ruled they needed to be enforced equally. Had they not gotten rid of them Dubya might have done hard time and Tom Delay might not be allowed to go 300 yards of a school building. 9/10/2010 1:13:04 PM

**<u>exiowan</u>** wrote: (Replying to WormRancher who said "I don't think I ever heard of David Leach until today and I never thought about Matt McCoy being HIV positive until today. Rekha; some things in life should just be ignored. Kinda like that preacher in Gainesville, Florida.")

I would tend to strongly agree with you. Why give any of these nuts a forum? Just turn away, stop listening. That is the only thing that will make them go away - becoming irrelevant. 9/10/2010 1:23:19 PM

**KCClone** wrote: (*Replying to infidel\_crusader who said "I know I'd NEVER shake McCoys hand because I have no idea where it's been....)* 

Pretty much the same thing could be said for you, dear. 9/10/2010 1:55:12 PM

**provideproof** wrote: (*Replying to KCClone & infidel\_crusader who said "I know I'd NEVER shake McCoys hand because I have no idea where it's been....." ... "Pretty much the same thing could be said for you, dear.")* 

Too bad the same can't be said about his head. We all know where that has been, and still is. That's got to be hard for him to breathe with his head up there.  $9/10/2010 \ 1:58:02 \ PM$ 

**feigner** wrote: (Replying to Mhaddon & royced57 who said "Hey, I love sodomy - broadly defined in it's hetreosexual manifestations. So sign me up for the lobby!" ... "You may be aware anti-sodomy laws in Texas were struck down because it was ruled they needed to be enforced equally. Had they not gotten rid of them Dubya might have done hard time and Tom Delay might not be allowed to go 300 yards of a school building.")

Nice retort there. When do you finally graduate junior high? 9/10/2010 2:04:17 PM

[Ed: I almost blued this comment, since calling someone's comment junior high level is normally not constructive criticism. But in this case, since I have heard no allegation that Dubya or Delay had the least sodomite inclinations, the subject of this comment indeed has the intellectual power of a junior high student throwing out words to hurt, without any concern for whether they are true. Kind of like RecklessWithTruth.]

**infidel\_crusader** wrote: (*Replying to KCClone's reply to infidel\_crusader: "I know I'd NEVER shake McCoys hand because I have no idea where it's been...." ... "Pretty much the same thing could be said for you, dear."*) I'm sure the idea of being with a woman terrifies those of you who are gender cornfuzed..unless you are one of those who dresses and pretends to act female... 9/10/2010 2:20:25 PM

**infidel\_crusader** wrote: (replying to provideproof, KCClone, infidel\_crusader who said "I know I'd NEVER shake McCoys hand because I have no idea where it's been...." ... "Pretty much the same thing could be said for you, dear." ... "Too bad the same can't be said about his head. We all know where that has been, and still is. That's got to be hard for him to breathe with his head up there.")

"We all" = those 4% of you nitwits who are gender cornfuzed...9/10/2010 2:24:06 PM

Steelin wrote: (Replying to fidelis who wrote:

Los Angeles Gay & Lesbian Center (2006): "HIV/AIDS is a gay disease."

*Center for Disease Control (CDC) (2005): "the proportion of men age 15-29 who engage in homosexual activity who have unrecognized HIV infection is as high as 77%."* 

UPI report on Public Health Office statement: 75% of all cases of HIV infections are found in men who engage in homosexual activity."

2005: U.S. health officials reported that the HIV infection rate rose 8% in just one year among homosexuals.

CDC report (2005): "The majority of women infected with HIV is due to high risk sexual behavior with bisexual men."

*Eastern Psychological Convention: Median age at death for homosexual men with AIDS=39; Median age at death for homosexual men without AIDS=42; Median age at death for lesbians=44* 

Looks like Mickelson was right."

Great information! I just love how it has been totally ignored in this

#### thread. 9/10/2010 2:31:17 PM

**KCClone** wrote: (*Replying to infidel\_crusader, KCClone, infidel\_crusader, who wrote: "I know I'd NEVER* shake McCoys hand because I have no idea where it's been....." ... "Pretty much the same thing could be said for you, dear." ... "I'm sure the idea of being with a woman terrifies those of you who are gender cornfuzed..unless you are one of those who dresses and pretends to act female...")

You're actually somewhat right this time...although it doesn't \*terrify\* me, being with a woman sexually doesn't appeal to me in the slightest. Now, that \*could\* be because I'm \*gender cornfuzed\* (if I may borrow your pet phrase). More likely, tho, it's because I'm a happily married heterosexual woman. 9/10/2010 2:40:24 PM

**Ben666** wrote: (Replying to KCClone, infidel\_crusader, KCClone, infidel\_crusader: "I know I'd NEVER shake McCoys hand because I have no idea where it's been...." "Pretty much the same thing could be said for you, dear." "I'm sure the idea of being with a woman terrifies those of you who are gender cornfuzed..unless you are one of those who dresses and pretends to act female..." "You're actually somewhat right this time...although it doesn't \*terrify\* me, being with a woman sexually doesn't appeal to me in the slightest. Now, that \*could\* be because I'm \*gender cornfuzed\* (if I may borrow your pet phrase). More likely, tho, it's because I'm a happily married heterosexual woman.")

please don't confuse imbecile creation - he believes everyone who does not viciously hate gay people is a liberal homosexual male. but we really can't expect much from him - he is brain dead...9/10/2010 3:56:14 PM

**infidel\_crusader** wrote: (Replying to KCClone: "You're actually somewhat right this time...although it doesn't \*terrify\* me, being with a woman sexually doesn't appeal to me in the slightest. Now, that \*could\* be because I'm \*gender cornfuzed\* (if I may borrow your pet phrase). More likely, tho, it's because I'm a happily married heterosexual woman.")

excuse me...but after looking at your profile pix I was unable to tell what sex you claim to be....I still am... ROFLMAO 9/10/2010 5:08:41 PM

<u>vietvet1000</u> wrote: Many countries use to have leper colonies and it would not be a bad idea to have aids colonies. This way it would not spread near as fast. I do not want to be around them period. Tough s--- if you don't like it. 9/10/2010 5:16:56 PM

**KCClone** wrote: (*Replying to infidel\_crusader, KCClone: "You're actually somewhat right this time...although it doesn't \*terrify\* me, being with a woman sexually doesn't appeal to me in the slightest. Now, that \*could\* be because I'm \*gender cornfuzed\* (if I may borrow your pet phrase). More likely, tho, it's because I'm a happily married heterosexual* 

woman." ... "excuse me...but after looking at your profile pix I was unable to tell what sex you claim to be....I still am... ROFLMAO")

While, of course, your avatar looks \*exactly\* like you. I like orangutans. I chose to use a picture of one for my avatar. Got a problem with that? My basic profile info and past posts are there for you to look at. I'm a 55yr old grandmother of 13, a Cyclone fan, and a few other things mentioned.

I have had the same profile & screen name (but several different avatars) since I first started posting here. Can you say the same? Didn't think so. 9/10/2010 5:18:52 PM

**KCClone** Wrote: (Replying to vietvet1000 who wrote: "Many countries use to have leper colonies and it would not be a bad idea to have aids colonies. This way it would not spread near as fast. I do not want to be around them period. Tough s--- if you don't like it.")

People who are HIV+ or have AIDS are not going to be put in "leper colonies". In case you haven't noticed, we as a society have moved past that point. If you don't want to be around "them", stay locked in your home. But don't ever have any in-home deliveries or repairs, don't let your friends come over, don't let your children bring your grand children over. You just never will know which person entering your home is (shudder) "one of them". Good grief...grow up. 9/10/2010 5:22:57 PM

**MHaddon Wrote**: (*Replying to feigner, Mhaddon, royced57: "Hey, I love sodomy - broadly defined in it's hetreosexual manifestations. So sign me up for the lobby!" .... "You may be aware anti-sodomy laws in Texas were struck down because it was ruled they needed to be enforced equally. Had they not gotten rid of them Dubya might have done hard time and Tom Delay might not be allowed to go 300 yards of a school building." ... "Nice retort there. When do you finally graduate junior high?")* 

I may have flunked out in 8th grade but at least I don't have to live with the shame of mentioning Tony Orlando in a post yesterday. And You do know vintage that 80s polyester melts if set ablaze. I'd stomp out that Salem menthol unless you really want to get hot under the collar, disco king. 9/10/2010 5:30:30 PM

<u>vietvet1000</u> Wrote: (Replying to KCClone, vietvet1000: "Many countries use to have leper colonies and it would not be a bad idea to have aids colonies. This way it would not spread near as fast. I do not want to be around them period. Tough s--- if you don't like it." .... "People who are HIV+ or have AIDS are not going to be put in "leper colonies". In case you haven't noticed, we as a society have moved past that point. If you don't want to be around "them", stay locked in your home. But don't ever have any in-home deliveries or repairs, don't let your friends come over, don't let your children bring your grand children over. You just never will know which person entering your home is (shudder) "one of them". ... "Good grief...grow up.")

Sounds to me like you have a life full of dysfuntional friends and family if you have this type of problem. Most decent people don't worry about it in the least bit. 9/10/2010 5:32:14 PM

<u>vietvet1000</u> wrote: (Replying to infidel\_crusader, KCClone: "You're actually somewhat right this time...although it doesn't \*terrify\* me, being with a woman sexually doesn't appeal to me in the slightest. Now, that \*could\* be because I'm \*gender cornfuzed\* (if I may borrow your pet phrase). More likely, tho, it's because I'm a happily married heterosexual woman." ... "excuse me...but after looking at your profile pix I was unable to tell what sex you claim to be....I still am... ROFLMAO")

#### LMAO. Love it. 9/10/2010 5:34:06 PM

<u>vietvet1000</u> wrote: (Replying to KCClone, infidel\_crusader, KCClone, infidel\_crusader: "I know I'd NEVER shake McCoys hand because I have no idea where it's been....." .... "Pretty much the same thing could be said for you, dear." ... "I'm sure the idea of being with a woman terrifies those of you who are gender cornfuzed..unless you are one of those who dresses and pretends to act female..." .... "You're actually somewhat right this time...although it doesn't \*terrify\* me, being with a woman sexually doesn't appeal to me in the slightest. Now, that \*could\* be because I'm \*gender cornfuzed\* (if I may borrow your pet phrase). More likely, tho, it's because I'm a happily married heterosexual woman.")

Then you should be cooking and cleaning instead of on the computer. LOL 9/10/2010 5:37:44 PM

**KCClone** Wrote: (Replying to Mhaddon, feigner, Mhaddon, royced57: "Hey, I love sodomy - broadly defined in it's hetreosexual manifestations. So sign me up for the lobby!" ..... "You may be aware anti-sodomy laws in Texas were struck down because it was ruled they needed to be enforced equally. Had they not gotten rid of them Dubya might have done hard time and Tom Delay might not be allowed to go 300 yards of a school building." .... "Nice retort there. When do you finally graduate junior high?" .... "I may have flunked out in 8th grade but at least I don't have to live with the shame of mentioning Tony Orlando in a post yesterday. And You do know vintage that 80s polyester melts if set ablaze. I'd stomp out that Salem menthol unless you really want to get hot under the collar, disco king.")

Not meaning to stomp on your fun, sugar, but you're a decade late. Tony Orlando & Dawn were 70's. 9/10/2010 5:38:09 PM

<u>MHaddon</u> wrote: (Replying to infidel\_crusader: "excuse me...but after looking at your profile pix I was unable to tell what sex you claim to be....I still am...ROFLMAO")

Wow, and feigner asked me when I'll be graduating from junior high. It's like you found the fountain of youth and only dipped your head in it. 9/10/2010 5:39:37 PM

**KCClone** wrote: (*Replying to vietvet1000, infidel\_crusader, KCClone: "You're actually* somewhat right this time...although it doesn't \*terrify\* me, being with a woman sexually doesn't appeal to me in the slightest. Now, that \*could\* be because I'm \*gender cornfuzed\* (if I may borrow your pet phrase). More likely, tho, it's because I'm a happily married heterosexual woman." ... "excuse me...but after looking at your profile pix I was unable to tell what sex you claim to be....I still am...ROFLMAO" ... "LMAO. Love it.")

You know, I've been trying to give you the benefit of the doubt, but I have to say you've made it pretty clear there is no way you could possibly be old enough to be what your screen name claims. I am disgusted by your appropriation of the title of vietvet. I know a number of 'Nam vets...real ones...who would also take exception to your falsehood. 9/10/2010 5:43:23 PM

MHaddon Wrote: (Replying to KCClone: "Not meaning to stomp on your fun, sugar, but you're a decade late. Tony Orlando & Dawn were 70's.")

But then how would I be able to remember them if it was in the 70s? Not to imply I was a stoner, Let's just go with I was on secret assignment outside the country during that era. 9/10/2010 5:46:00 PM

**KCClone** wrote: (Replying to vietvet1000, KCClone, vietvet1000: "People who are HIV+ or have AIDS are not going to be put in "leper colonies". If you don't want to be around "them", stay locked in your home. But don't ever have any in-home deliveries or repairs, don't let your friends come over, don't let your children bring your grand children over. You just never will know which person entering your home is (shudder) "one of them"." ... "Good grief...grow up." ... "Sounds to me like you have a life full of dysfuntional friends and family if you have this type of problem. Most decent people don't worry about it in the least bit.")

Nope. No dysfunctional friends & family here. I don't worry about it at all. You're the one who says you "don't want to be around \*them\*". Since \*they\* are not going to be \*put away\*, and the rest of us accept people for who they are, that leaves you retreating from the world. Have a nice trip. 9/10/2010 5:46:01 PM

**KCClone** wrote: (Replying to vietvet1000, KCClone, infidel\_crusader, KCClone: "I know I'd NEVER shake McCoys hand because I have no idea where it's been....." ... "Pretty much the same thing could be said for you, dear." ... "I'm sure the idea of being with a woman terrifies those of you who are gender cornfuzed..unless you are one of those who dresses and pretends to act female..." ... "You're actually somewhat right this time...although it doesn't \*terrify\* me, being with a woman sexually doesn't appeal to me in the slightest. Now, that \*could\* be because I'm \*gender cornfuzed\* (if I may borrow your pet phrase). More likely, tho, it's because I'm a happily married heterosexual woman." ... "Then you should be cooking and cleaning instead of on the computer. LOL")

You'll have to do a lot better than that, sugar. 9/10/2010 5:46:48 PM

**KCClone** Wrote: (*Replying to Mhaddon, KCClone: "Not meaning to stomp on your fun, sugar, but you're a decade late. Tony Orlando & Dawn were 70's." ... "But then how would I be able to remember them if it was in the 70s? Not to imply I was a stoner, Let's just go with I was on secret assignment outside the country during that era."*)

Well, I'll tie a yellow ribbon round that old oak tree, and you can take a letter, Maria, then ask Candida to knock three times. (did i miss any???) 9/10/2010 5:48:09 PM

<u>vietvet1000</u> wrote: (Replying to KCClone, vietvet1000, infidel\_crusader: "ROFLMAO.... "LMAO. Love it." ... "You know, I've been trying to give you the benefit of the doubt, but I have to say you've made it pretty clear there is no way you could possibly be old enough to be what your screen name claims. I am disgusted by your appropriation of the title of vietvet. I know a number of 'Nam vets...real ones...who would also take exception to your falsehood.")

This is why you can't be taken serious because of your false assumptions. I was in Nam 66 and 67 Pleiku 8th infantry 4th div. So everything you say can be taken with a grain of salt. Look up 1st sargent David McNerney Medal of Honor winner and it will give you a clue where I was at in March of 67. He was and is a true hero. Still alive by the way. 9/10/2010 5:51:53 PM <u>vietvet1000</u> Wrote: (*Replying to Mhaddon, KCClone: "Not meaning to stomp on your fun, sugar, but you're a decade late. Tony* Orlando & Dawn were 70's." ... "But then how would I be able to remember them if it was in the 70s? Not to imply I was a stoner, Let's just go with I was on secret assignment outside the country during that era.")

otherwords in Jail LOL

vietvet1000 wrote: (*Replying to bunches of nonsense:*) Dirty house and eating McDonalds? LOL 9/10/2010 5:55:21 PM

KCClone wrote: (*Replying to bunches of nonsense:*) When you start acting your age I might start buying it. Until then, I'll assume you're plagiarizng off the internet, as you are instructing me to do. 9/10/2010 6:03:26 PM

<u>MrClean</u> wrote: (Replying to bunches of nonsense: Well, I'll tie a yellow ribbon round that old oak tree, and you can take a letter; Maria, then ask Candida to knock three times. (did i miss any???))

Say, Has Anybody Seen My Sweet Gypsy Rose... 9/10/2010 6:06:46 PM

KCClone wrote: Here's a tip, viet"vet". Stick with Sgt next time. It's not spelled "sargent". Thanks for playing...stop back.

#### (to quote my son from his highschool days 10 years ago). 9/10/2010 6:06:48 PM

KCClone wrote: (Replying to bunches of nonsense: Say, Has Anybody Seen My Sweet Gypsy Rose...) Damn! KNEW I missed one! 9/10/2010 6:07:41 PM

<u>vietvet1000</u> Wrote: (Replying to: When you start acting your age I might start buying it. Until then, I'll assume you're plagiarizng off the internet, as you are instructing me to do.) You are an idiot. Pure and simple. Most likely you was part of the crowd spitting at us when we got back to Travis in 67. 9/10/2010 6:13:14 PM

#### vietvet1000 wrote: (Replying to: It's not spelled "sargent".)

Actually it is spelled sergeant. But some times you get in a hurry when your pi--ed off at some one who doubts your service and have not served period. They have no clue or even care about the good men who died so they can spew their worthless and false drivel.  $9/10/2010\ 6:19:58\ PM$ 

**Braniff** wrote: In regards to the comment that WHO used to be a key source of news--so true!!! Too bad they can't spend more time living up to their name of "newsradio". They don't do any in-depth coverage of the issues of the day; the WHO people don't do any investigative reporting. The WHO people don't have any scheduled debates (remember Crossfire on CNN?) or public-affairs programming on the lines of Inside Iowa, Washington Week In Review or Face The Nation. If I want local or national news, I usually go to the internet.

#### 9/10/2010 6:48:20 PM

**KCClone** wrote: (Replying to: ... But some times you get in a hurry when your pi--ed off at some one who doubts your service and have not served period. They have no clue or even care about the good men who died so they can spew their worthless and false drivel.)

I'm underwhelmed. Go right ahead and curse me...it just reinforces my impression of your lack of maturity. If you consider what I have said to be worthless, false drivel, I suggest you look back at your own posts...they are hardly bastions of maturity. 9/10/2010 7:09:39 PM

**ElsaA** wrote: What else is to be expected from Mickelson and Leach? They are what they are, and many of us pay no attention to them. Calling them "notables" is a bit overstated. 9/10/2010 7:24:02 PM

#### [Ed: I was hoping no one would notice.]

**Unreligious** wrote: What a bunch of spitting matches we have here today. Too many people with, to much time on their hands. 9/10/2010 8:31:28 PM

<u>vietvet1000</u> wrote: vietvet1000's comment has been removed for violating the terms of service. 9/10/2010 9:38:05 PM

**sfhally** wrote: (*Responding to "Eastern Psychological Convention: Median age at death for homosexual men with AIDS=39; Median age at death for homosexual men without AIDS=42; Median age at death for lesbians=44Great information! I just love how it has been totally ignored in this thread."*)

I've been tryong to verify the various quotes but all I kept getting was websites with creepy christians and then of course the ever-icky Paul "I'm NOT obsessed" Cameron.

There-lete's see if this will get by the censors this time. My original post used a word that wasn't allowed and I thought it was funny in reference to Cameron. After obsessed I'd put the words "with and then a h word". 9/11/2010 12:23:27 AM

#### **fredinia** wrote:

Replying to (infidel\_crusader: getting AIDS/HIV is almost exclusively based on one's BEHAVIOR. Don't engage in risky sex, especially gay sex, or sex with IV drug abusers and stay in a monogamous relationship and you have about a ZERO percent chance of becoming infected.. Thats called BEHAVIOR SPARKY..try for once accepting personal responsibility for your own BEHAVIOR..)

In 1982 my cousin got AIDS from a blood transfusion. A child getting a transfusion. How is that behavior? How did the child engage in gay sex, or sex with an IV drug abuser? 9/11/2010 9:41:05 AM

<u>vietvet1000</u> wrote: (*Replying to: In 1982 my cousin got AIDS from a blood tranfusion. A child getting a transfusion. How is that behavior? How did the child engage in gay sex, or sex with an IV drug abuser?*)

Where is your condemnation of the lowlife that give the blood in the first place? Where is your condemnation of the people who was responsible for the screening process that allowed it? Are you able to put 2+2 together? 9/11/2010 11:54:26 AM

**fredinia** wrote: (*Replying to "Where is your condemnation of the people who was responsible for the screening process that allowed it? Are you able to put 2+2 together?*)

It's family, so yes i ranted and raved about the person who donated blood and infected my cousin. But it isn't known how that person got it. And if you knew anything about medical history, you would know back then the tests weren't 100% accurate and they didn't test donated blood since they didn't know how easily it was transmitted. For goodness sakes, we still have Jan alluding to being able to get it from a handshake. Ignorance in your case, and Jan's is bliss. 9/11/2010 12:29:33 PM

[Ed: There must be a lot of people determined to believe Jan and I think AIDS is transmitted by handshakes. Not even RecklessWithTruth said Jan said or thought such a thing; she said I did. She knows better, since she drew from Jennifer Jacobs' article which made it clear that I don't think such a thing but I marveled that that was the implication of Matt McCoy's statement in an ad series. A woman identifying herself as Michelle Heinz called me at work to rant at my ignorance that I would believe such a thing, and was determined to not believe anything I said to the contrary. Apparently it is crucial to such people that they believe our convictions are explained by our stupidity, so that they can ignore our evidence without feeling foolish.]

#### **ponders** wrote:

Replying to a list of songs: Don't listen to him KC, cause we all know He Don't Love You (Like I do). 9/11/2010 1:05:43 PM

<u>fredinia</u> wrote: Replying to song list: I like Dr Johnny Fever's t-shirt. Death to disco 9/11/2010 1:32:10 PM

#### <u>2Cents</u> wrote:

The DMR talks about assigning shame...that is rich. This is a newspaper that has no shame. 9/11/2010 1:38:57 PM

<u>fredinia</u> wrote: Replying to ManitobaSnowplow: Thanks for stating the facts about Sodomy Michealson...Thanks for more politically correct, crybaby homoe tripe Rekha.

I like to compare and contrast issues each day. Rekha makes it easy. Whatever she believes in, I contest. Anything that makes her heart feel warm makes me puke. Whatever she champions, I condemn. ...

So when she praises America you are against America? 9/11/2010 2:14:50 PM

**fredinia** wrote: fredinia's comment has been removed for violating the terms of service. 9/11/2010 2:24:12 PM

**ConservativeKen** wrote: HIV is a terrible disease largely brought on by personal behavior. There are two types of HIV with an African variety that appears to transfer heterosexually. The form of HIV in the states tends to be homosexually transmitted thanks to men having anal sex with each other. Apparently, anal sex causes anal tears and lots of blood. Anal sex causes blood to be jammed up the male's urethra creating a higher chance for HIV acquisition. IV drug users tend to spread the disease as well. High risk female sex workers also spread it due to disease co-factors often found in both sex workers and their clients. Women often get HIV from bi-sexual or IV drug using boyfriends. Many children are also born with it from infected mothers. Some people got it from blood transfusions.

Most people get HIV from gay sex. Almost all of the rest got it from one partner having sex out of wedlock. It is not exclusively gay or sexually driven but it is HIGHLY gay and HIGHLY sexually driven. 9/11/2010 7:48:43 PM

#### ConservativeKen wrote:

Also, Rekha Basu takes any shot she can at Dave Leach because of his staunch anti-infanticide activism more than anything to do with Matt McCoy.9/11 /2010 7:50:14 PM

MHaddon wrote: MHaddon's comment has been removed for violating the terms of service.

#### 9/11/2010 7:55:57 PM

MHaddon wrote: Replying to ManitobaSnowplow: Whoops, scratch that... Just saw her photo, not happening. No it's not. Glad you at least know expectations you can't meet. Go troll for a woman so drunk she can't see your face clearly. 9/11/2010 8:00:09 PM

**grandkidsrock** wrote: I clearly remember that in the early 80's during the initial AIDS scare, pro-homosexual folks were heralding that by the year 2000 the disease would be rampant amongst the heterosexual population in our country. It didn't happen and it never will. AIDS in the US is largely confined to the homosexual population who have multiple sex partners. That is an absolute fact. There are rare tragic exceptions - the type of examples that Basu and others strain so hard to write about.

The prevention? Remain faithful to your spouse in a marriage - one man and one woman. When those like Basu refuse to acknowledge the truth, they do a great disservice to those who listen to them.

Compassion to all those who have AIDS? OF COURSE!! But continue to communicate a false message endangering so many lives? NO!! 9/11/2010 8:39:51 PM

**ConservativeKen** wrote: (Replying to ManitobaSnowplow who wrote "Mhaddon, I am not the guy who gave you Aids, so please, refrain from brow beating me. Also, Wreaka could use a good Rogering... Too bad you dont roll that way sassy boy.")

You seem to have libertarian tendencies that push you to support Republicans but seem to reject Christianity on at least some level. Interesting to say the least but not uncommon either. I think the Ron Paul faction of the Republican Party would generally have the same beliefs. I think you also have more in common with liberals and the SSM crowd than I because you seem to reject religion (as do they). Regardless, you are right if your implied argument is that gay sex is the main reason for the HIV problem in our country. 9/11/2010 8:45:58 PM

**ConservativeKen** wrote: Rekha is ignoring that fact that HIV is mainly transmitted by men having sex with men. Nothing in her article refuted a thing Jan Michelson said. 9/11/2010 8:47:22 PM

**grandkidsrock** wrote: (*Replying to ConservativeKen who said "Rekha is ignoring that fact that HIV is mainly transmitted by men having sex with men. Nothing in her article refuted a thing Jan Michelson said."*)

"Absolute truth" and "Personal responsibility" are not real popular concepts in Rekha's world. 9/11/2010 9:08:50 PM

**Ben666** wrote: Replying to ConservativeKen: I am obsessed with gay men having anal sex. it is nearly the only thing i thing about all day long...it sure seems to be 9/11/2010 11:11:03 PM

**ConservativeKen** wrote in response: Ben, you should either refute or accept the core issue with the column. You did neither as you made up a quote about me. Nobody from the pro-gay movement has produced any evidence that Jan Michelson was wrong in his many statements that gay sex between men is the main reason gay men are the highest risk category for HIV. I believe the gay movement is more interested in acceptance of it than preventing it at this point. Believe me, I hate the disease no just because I thought I picked it up from a girl one time or because someone I care for very deeply has it from her lying bi-sexual (now jailed) boyfriend. I saw this disease up close in Uganda and Sudan and see how it destroys people on a large scale. But, it is a disease of behavior and not at all random. 9/12/2010 12:49:18 AM

<u>ConservativeKen</u> wrote: Replying to ManitobaSnowplow: That's weird Red July. Have you been in a time warp or vacuum the past 4 years? The Democrats have approved every budget since 2006. Bush was decapitated a long time ago. Obama is the fool who destroyed America. Wake up Red July, you have missed the boat. Socialism, Communism, State-ism, Leftism etc do not work, never have. Get a clue friend.

Republicans are truly inept at pointing out the Democrats have had the keys to the economy since 2006. They pretend Bush caused the economic problems that all seemed to spiral progressively out of control once they started driving 4 years ago. They often wax about the wonderful Clinton economy without mentioning Republicans controlled the economy for all but Clinton's first two years. Bottom line, Republicans kept the bank in check and Democrats spend to stay in office with bail outs and pay offs to constituencies.

Republicans should say "the economy has been bad ever since Dems took it over in 2006." 9/12/2010 2:00:49 AM

**alphakat** wrote: Leach is an utter and complete disgusting tool for saying what he said about the transmission of HIV. Does the man have no honor? 9/12/2010 2:21:01 AM

<u>ConservativeKen</u> wrote in response: I could see where Dave Leach was floored by an announcement that HIV would not prevent openly gay State Senator Matt McCoy from shaking constituent hands. It certainly would make a person wonder if Matt is HIV positive based on that statement. Rekha put a little more context to it and my location in Iraq prevents me from hearing the public service announcement so forgive me if I mischaracterize it any way. But the fact is, Matt McCoy also claimed Jan Michelson had many factual errors when claiming HIV is transmitted due to risky behaviors. If there are errors, then Matt needs to point them out rather than simply launch an advertising boycott designed to run Jan off the air. 9/12/2010 3:02:45 AM

<u>ConservativeKen</u> wrote: Dave Leach is one of the few people to openly debate rabidly pro-gay agenda State Senator Matt McCoy and this debate should happen. If Matt McCoy is doing anything else other than working on gay agenda issues I'd like to hear about it since it seems the gay issue has entire focus privately and legislatively these days. If the South Side of Des Moines wants the male version of Rosie O'Donnell as their State Senator so be it but I doubt they realize it. Dave Leach is not polished and subject to hit piece after hit piece by the DMR in his bi-yearly run for public office. But the fact is Dave Leach lives Christianity in speaks Biblical truth whenever he discusses issues. Dave knows what he believes in and why he believes while most others do not. Iowa deserves a chance to hear Dave debate Matt McCoy on Matt's favorite issue. 9/12/2010 3:07:15 AM

**ConservativeKen** wrote: The gay movement should concentrate more effort telling people the truth that anal sex is so dangerous, with regard to HIV, that even using a condom is not considered safe. So called monogamous gay men are at risk if even one partner strays so anal sex should definitely be avoided. Bi-sexual men and IV drug using men are also risky so women should avoid anal sex for the same reasons. Unfortunately, vaginal sex between and infected male can produce HIV in women but not anywhere near the high rates as anal sex. Passing HIV from an infected female to an uninfected male is significantly less likely by vagina. The bottom line, if people stopped having anal sex HIV would drastically decrease.

Therefore, it is correct to state that HIV is behavior driven. 9/12/2010 4:45:50 AM

**Ben666** wrote: Ben666's comment has been removed for violating the terms of service. 9/12/2010 11:44:38 AM

**fredinia** wrote: fredinia's comment has been removed for violating the terms of service. 9/12/2010 7:24:16 PM

**ConservativeKen** wrote: (Replying to "Wake up Red July, you have missed the boat. Socialism, Communism, State-ism, Leftism etc do not work, never have. Get a clue friend.")

Republicans are truly inept at pointing out the Democrats have had the keys to the economy since 2006. They pretend Bush caused the economic problems that all seemed to spiral progressively out of control once they started driving 4 years ago. They often wax about the wonderful Clinton economy without mentioning Republicans controlled the economy for all but Clinton's first two years. Bottom line, Republicans kept the bank in check and Democrats spend to stay in office with bail outs and pay offs to constituencies.

Republicans should say "the economy has been bad ever since Dems took it over in 2006." 9/12/2010 2:00:49 AM

### Kudos to those who speak for tolerance

September 25, 2010

Regarding Rekha Basu's Sept. 10 column ("Let's Put Shame Where it Belongs") quoting Dave Leach's reaction to a recent HIV/AIDS anti-stigma campaign: I would like to thank Leach for validating the importance of continuing HIV/AIDS awareness and education campaigns.

While Leach states that "he fell to the floor laughing" every time he saw the campaign, HIV/AIDS is no laughing matter. In recent years, the incidence of HIV/AIDS in Iowa has risen sharply creating alarm among public health officials. Also, Leach implies that HIV/AIDS can be transmitted by shaking hands. Clearly, the need to educate our local leaders on public health issues is imperative.

To state Sen. Matt McCoy, Des Moines Mayor Frank Cownie, Bankers Trust CEO Suku Radia, the Rev. Mark Stringer, Des Moines Register columnist Rekha Basu, retired businessman David Hurd, as well as everyday Iowans who were identified as a "person living with HIV," a very hearty thank you for caring about all Iowans and for serving as spokespeople in the anti-stigma campaign.

- Dr. Scott Clair, Community HIV/Hepatitis Advocates of Iowa Network, Des Moines

#### ForRights wrote:

Dr, Scott Clair wants to "thank Leach for validating the importance of continuing HIV/AIDS awareness and education campaigns." I support that goal and hope some day that everyone knows that men not having sex with men and avoiding druggies is a big step in that direction. 9/25/2010 10:52:29 AM

**kjfraser** wrote: Replying to ForRights: Oh shut up. Nobody cares what you think. 9/25/2010 11:15:11 AM **Ben666** wrote: in a just world "forrights" would be required to changed his screenname to "againstrights" or

#### "forbigotryandprejudice." 9/25/2010 11:40:35 AM

**conversionthrapy** wrote: SHAKING HANDS CAN CAUSE AIDS!!!! If you shake hands with someone who has a cut on their hand, or if they have dried blood on their hands, and the person has AIDS, YOU CAN CATCH AIDS BY SHAKING HANDS WITH THAT PERSON!!!!!!! 9/25/2010 11:54:15 AM

**conversionthrapy** wrote: AND, OF COURSE, people who participate in MAN-ON-MAN "SEX" ACTS are at HIGH RISK FOR AIDS!!!! If this is not true, then why did the Centers for Disease Control, just this week, issue findings indicating that 20% of these GAY HOMOSEXUALS are INFECTED WITH AIDS???!!!??? 9/25/2010 11:56:33 AM

**DaveLeach** wrote: Amazing, how rumors have a life of their own, fed by the prejudices of their handlers! This whole thing started when my opponent, Matt McCoy, got a letter in the Des Moines Redstar Sept 1 bawling out Steve King for refusing to debate his opponent. I took that as an implication that he would be willing to debate me. So in my long web article analyzing all that, (at

www.Saltshaker.US/Leach2010), I threw in a spot of humor about McCoy's ad campaign where he said "HIV won't stop me from shaking hands with my constituents." What was he saying? Was he saying he has HIV but he won't let that stop him from shaking hands with voters? If that is what he means, is he implying that he believes shaking voter's hands transmits AIDS to them but he is willing to accept that risk?!!! I never said I myself believe shaking hands transmits AIDS, although Ken is right in saying it sometimes can. I also never said I fell on the floor "laughing". The idiom "I fell on the floor" can mean either "laughing" ... 9/25/2010 1:51:58 PM

**planetiowa** wrote: Some who ask for tolerance are really asking for acceptance. Keep that in mind. While we might have tolerance for a person, that doesn't mean we have acceptance for the things

they do. 9/25/2010 2:01:02 PM

**DaveLeach** wrote: ...or "in astonishment". I clearly meant the latter, as Redstar reporteress Jennifer Jacob made very clear in a very accurate article about the mess a day later. (Jacobs told me McCoy had assumed I meant I was laughing.) But RecklessWithTruth (whose name, coincidentally, kind of sounds like "Rekha Basu") is not a great fan of accuracy. She was quite sure Jacobs really meant to report that I, myself, believe shaking hands transmits AIDS, not, as I had written, (which Jacobs faithfully reprinted), that I was trying to figure out if that is what Matt McCoy meant. But Dr. Clair added, all by himself, that I said I was "laughing" as I "fell on the floor". He wrote "Leach states 'he fell to the floor laughing". It should have been a clue to Dr. Clair, and may it be to every reader, that "he fell", 3rd person, is not a quote of what I would say of myself, which I would say in first person. Now watch: the next stage of this rumor will have me saying "Dr. Clair fell on the floor laughing." 9/25/2010 2:14:21 PM

**kjfraser** wrote: (*Replying to conversionthrapy who had said "SHAKING HANDS CAN CAUSE AIDS!!!! If you shake hands with someone who has a cut on their hand, or if they have dried blood on their hands, and the person has AIDS, YOU CAN CATCH AIDS BY SHAKING HANDS WITH THAT PERSON!!!!!!)* 

**kjfraser** wrote: (*Replying to DaveLeach who had said* (...I clearly meant the latter, as Redstar reporteress Jennifer Jacob made very clear...) What the hell is a "reporteress"????? 9/25/2010 2:24:48 PM

**DaveLeach** wrote: By the way, Matt McCoy's astonishing answer to Jacobs, about what he actually meant in that eye-popping ad, was "We don't care if peole think we do or don't (have HIV, the answer to which is necessary to decipher his meaning). The point is we're just about destigmatizing this disease, and ultimately creating conversation and awareness about it." OK, fine. If these people want to deliberately confuse the public about what they mean, they can play their game. But ambiguity like that doesn't focus "conversation and awareness" on the disease. I just wish they wouldn't put their own words in my mouth, just because I am trying to decipher them. 9/25/2010 2:32:42 PM

**glance** wrote: Dr. Clair, I would not hesitate to engage in any of the activities featured in the HIV/AIDS anti stigma awareness and education campaign featuring the Des Moines luminaries. They do not transmit HIV/AIDS. As a doctor, to what behaviors do you contribute the rising incidence of HIV/AIDS in Iowa? Perhaps that should be the subject of your campaigns. Or is dealing forthrightly with prevention conflicting with the stigma thing? 9/25/2010 3:00:42 PM

**kjfraser** wrote: (Replying to DaveLeach:) I still want to know what a "reporteress" is. 9/25/2010 3:13:03 PM

<u>vietvet1000</u> wrote: (*Replying to conversionthrapy who had written: "AND, OF COURSE, people who participate in MAN-ON-MAN "SEX" ACTS are at HIGH RISK FOR AIDS!!!! If this is not true, then why did the Centers for Disease Control, just this week, issue findings indicating that 20% of these GAY HOMOSEXUALS are INFECTED WITH AIDS???!!!???'')* Gods revenge on their lifestyle choices. 9/25/2010 3:39:42 PM

**kjfraser** wrote: And I have a great idea, Dave. Why don't you take that ridiculous cowboy hat and go play with your murdering pal? There is no room in this country for left over misogynist homophobes. I know you and your old buddies really hate this, but you're no longer relevant. In fact, you are of no use whatever. Oh, forget about the definition of the insulting term "reporteress". I think we all see your character for what it is. 9/25/2010 3:40:28 PM

**kjfraser** wrote: (*Replying to vietvet1000 who said the Centers for Disease Control report this week saying 20% of gay men have AIDS is "Gods revenge on their lifestyle choices."*) What's god's revenge on yours? Stupidity? 9/25/2010 3:41:16 PM

<u>ConservativeKen</u> wrote: Another point is gay activists minimize this disease and the risks it poses to the world. They believe it is a manageable disease and just part of being gay. These same gay activists want to remove the prohibition against gay men giving blood because the chances are slim (but not low by any stretch of the imagination) for a gay man giving HIV tainted blood during the two week window period between HIV infection and detection. Reasonable people would say that is an unnacceptable risk for the general population but not gay activists. 9/25/2010 3:52:10 PM

DaveLeach wrote: I'm sorry, I thought I was done. As for the notion that the words put in my

mouth by RecklessWithTruth and Dr. Clair are an argument for more AIDS education, I would think the ambiguity of the statement by my opponent, Matt McCoy, is an argument that if our goal is an unambiguous AIDS education, AIDS education needs to be transferred to clearer minds.

As for what a "reporteress" is: it is the feminine of the noun "reporter". Other common examples of a maculine and feminine form of common nouns in English: waiter, waitress; host, hostess; mister, mistress. Uh, Mrs. 9/25/2010 3:58:30 PM

**kjfraser** wrote: (Replying to DaveLeach's definition of "reporteress"): Oh, I apologize. I didn't realize we were in 1945. 9/25/2010 4:02:48 PM

[Ed: In the following 60 or so posts, no one addressed, much less refuted, anything I said. The rest of these posts are about other sodomite issues. kjfraser, who professes to be a grandmother, deals with facts and logic with mocking insults, to a degree that were "it" in a mob, all nearby would be in danger.]

**ConservativeKen** wrote: KJ, Why don't you address my point and answer whether you believe men who have sex with men should be allowed to donate blood. Also, if a gay person donates blood and someone gets HIV as a result would you be opposed to prosecuting the gay man for donatint tainted blood during the window period? 9/25/2010 4:06:05 PM

<u>ConservativeKen</u> wrote: Ben666, If we had truth in names your name should be against Jesus. You have two fotos on your profile. One has Jesus on a cross with a yellowish liquid splattered on him and the other is presumably a bearded version of you wearing a dress. That is in addition to your narratives, your name, your posts, and everything about you indicating you hate Jesus. Perhaps your moniker should have been "BenhatesJesus" but I hope you do not because I still pray you repent of your blasphemous ways. I pray you embrace the good of Jesus rather than the sin of this world before it is too late. But, the fact is that right now your entire essense is to assault Jesus at every turn in conjunction with your homosexual advocacy. 9/25/2010 4:11:04 PM

**kjfraser** wrote: (*Replying to ConservativeKen who said "Why don't you address my point and answer whether you believe men who have sex with men should be allowed to donate blood?"*)

If you had a point, I would. But all you have is more mysterious "gay activist" rhetoric. If you had any actual facts (and not the ramblings of some whack job), people might take you seriously. But the fact is, you want to quote the most obtuse obscure group and claim it as generally accepted fact. There are all sorts of conspiracy theorists out there - they make up a tiny portion of society, but, like Dave Leach and you, they spew their gibberish non-stop so we'll notice them and take them seriously. Well, the vast majority of us do not. We recognize you for what you are. 9/25/2010 4:30:05 PM

**kjfraser** wrote: (Replying to ConservativeKen who wrote "Ben666, If we had truth in names your name should be against Jesus. You have two fotos on your profile. One has Jesus on a cross with a yellowish liquid splattered on him and the other is presumably a bearded version of you wearing a dress. That is in addition to your narratives, your name, your posts, and everything about you indicating you hate Jesus. Perhaps your moniker should have been "BenhatesJesus" but I hope you do not because I still pray you repent of your blasphemous ways. I pray you embrace the good of Jesus rather than the sin of this world before it is too late. But, the fact is that right now your entire essense is to assault Jesus at every turn in conjunction with your homosexual advocacy.") God, Flanders. Give it a rest. 9/25/2010 4:31:18 PM

KCClone wrote: (*Replying to vietvet1000 who called AIDS "Gods revenge on their lifestyle choices."*) Explain that to Ryan White. 9/25/2010 4:41:52 PM

<u>ConservativeKen</u> wrote: KJ, Can I put you on the record of supporting gay men to donate blood? 9/25/2010 4:51:35 PM

**kjfraser** wrote: (*Replying to ConservativeKen who said "KJ, Can I put you on the record of supporting gay men to donate blood?"*) Is their blood orange? Green? What seems to be the problem? How about black people? Should they donate blood? Mexicans? Fat people? What is your concern, exactly? Are you afraid you'll "catch" gay? 9/25/2010 4:54:09 PM

**kjfraser** wrote: Wait a minute..... I'm not so sure I'm comfortable with all the places you and tiny Kenny have been. I would like a list, please, so I can clear you for blood donations. (You do know that prostitutes have a pretty high rate of infection, right?) 9/25/2010 5:00:15 PM

[Ed: I hope the reason the comments stopped coming in for awhile was that

Fraser's last two posts were so hostile – both blaspheming God and refusing to acknowledge even the slightest moral imperative to not take chances with other people's lives – that readers found the stream too abominable to even respond to.]

<u>ConservativeKen</u> wrote: KJ is on record for advocating blood donations from gay men when he knows the highest of the highest risk category for HIV can go two weeks before earliest detection. During that window period they are also highly contageous. Thanks for your candid response and candidate Leach should ask State Senator Matt McCoy to answer whether gay men should be allowed to donate blood. Honestly, my gay friends tell me they have a lot of sex in any two week period with many partners. KJ, do you have risky encounters in a given two week period? Would we the public be safe from HIV if you gave blood? 9/25/2010 8:06:50 PM

**kjfraser** wrote: (Replying to ConservativeKen:) I have donated blood many times in my life, as have lots of other people, gay or straight. If I thought for one second you had "gay friends", I might believe one word you say. But that's another of your crazy lies. And just for the record, I'm a grandmother. 9/25/2010 9:00:42 PM

**kjfraser** wrote: And one more thing, Kenny my boy. YOU'RE the one who admitted cheating on your wife. So it looks as though the chance of YOU contracting some disease are a whole lot higher than me. 9/25/2010 9:02:00 PM

<u>ConservativeKen</u> wrote: Granny, Yes, I cheated on my fiancee and had my close encounter with deadly disease that woke me up to God in ways I did not realize at earlier times. Now, in all of my heterosexual encounters I was never at risk near the level of men pounding each other in the tailpipe. 9/25/2010 9:17:40 PM

**XRIGHT** wrote: (*Replying to ConservativeKen asking "KJ, Can I put you on the record of supporting gay men to donate blood?" and KJ answering "Is their blood orange? Green? What seems to be the problem? How about black people? Should they donate blood? Mexicans? Fat people? What is your concern, exactly? Are you afraid you'll "catch" gay?"*) I have never seen a more open dodge to a question in any of the posts I have recently read. Score the point for CK. 9/25/2010 10:07:26 PM

<u>vietvet1000</u> wrote: (Replying to kjfraser saying he was a "grandmother"): He thinks he is a grandmother in his perverted mind. 9/25/2010 10:08:51 PM

[Ed: This is a personal attack which I would normally put in blue and small print, but it highlights a problem when those who post are anonymous. People can lie and no one can check up on them. People can insist they are not lying, and yet so long as they remain anonymous they can't back anything up about themselves. I know that Ken is doing military work, so I know he has lots of gay friends.]

**kjfraser** wrote: Well that's special. But your argument still makes no sense. The fact that someone is gay does not automatically disqualify them to donate blood, any more than it does you. Correct? 9/25/2010 10:10:54 PM

**kjfraser** wrote: (*Replying to XRIGHT who wrote "I have never seen a more open dodge to a question in any of the posts I have recently read."*) Oh, do I think gay people should donate blood? Of course. I thought I made that clear with my sarcastic reply. Here, I'll make it easier for those of you who are challenged: Straight people have AIDS too, so don't be so stupid. 9/25/2010 10:13:16 PM

**kjfraser** wrote: (*Replying to vietvet1000 who said "He thinks he is a grandmother in his perverted mind."*) Nice try, honey. 9/25/2010 10:14:09 PM

kjfraser wrote: And velvet and xman, if you too boobs are the best kenny has to offer for back-up, I have nothing to worry about. 9/25/2010 10:15:35 PM

**XRIGHT** wrote: Of course only liberals speak for tolerance. No one who disagrees with any issue they embrace can be described as tolerant. There can be no debate, only namecalling and dismissive remarks with a heavy dose of intelligence questioning.

After the initial round of badmouthing the opposition the leftist minions, not knowing what to do next, turn to look toward their leadership enclaves of Hollywood, San Francisco, and New York for

instruction on what to do and say. How do we know this? Simple. No matter which side of the nation you are on the attack will be the same...just like a puppet on a string; pull their string and they reacte.

Many of conservative persuasion knew this was coming, knew it would become more frequent, knew the method and the very wording of the attacks. Everybody see's through it, especially those on the right, with the exception of those liberal faithful who fall for it every time. 9/25/2010 10:24:48 PM

<u>vietvet1000</u> wrote: (*Replying to kjfraser who said "And velvet and xman, if you too boobs are the best kenny has to offer for back-up, I have nothing to worry about."*) You are correct, you are a nothing to worry about. 9/25/2010 10:24:56 PM

**kjfraser** wrote: (*Replying to XRIGHT who said "Of course only liberals speak for tolerance. No one who disagrees with any issue they embrace can be described as tolerant. There can be no debate, only namecalling and dismissive remarks with a heavy dose of intelligence questioning...."*) Stop drinking! 9/25/2010 10:37:03 PM

**kjfraser** wrote: (*Replying to vietvet1000 who said "You are correct, you are a nothing to worry about."*) Gasp! Is that a zinger? 9/25/2010 10:38:22 PM

**XRIGHT** wrote: 'Straight people have AIDS too, so don't be so stupid.'

Straight people never used to have to worry about AIDS. AIDS became an epidemic through the gay community as a result of wanton promiscuity and more than casual, unprotected sex played out chiefly in the bathhouses on the East and West coasts.

Upon realizing they were the primary progenitors of AIDS, gays began to wail to the Feds for the people to save them from this holocaust they had created. Billions have been spent and the gay agenda has thanked no one, instead, continuing to push their agenda further and further on to a society they have bilked for so long.

Sorry, but you have played us for stupid too long. 9/25/2010 10:40:55 PM

ConservativeKen wrote: http://www.aegis.com/pubs/gmhc/2000/gm141101.html

If the blood donor pool is opened to healthy gay men, not many more potential donors are included given the small numbers of gay men overall. Assume 5 percent of the 130 million American males are gay, which gives a rough estimate of 6.5 million gay men in the United States. Assume at least 85 percent of these men are healthy, HIV-uninfected, with no other exclusions and that they would donate blood at roughly the same rate as the rest of the population (less than 5 percent). This results in an expansion of the donor pool by only about 250,000 people. At the same time the donor pool is opened up to a much larger number of potentially HIV-infected people. The risk of allowing gay men to donate is therefore disproportionate to the benefit of a relatively small increase in the donor pool.

This cold calculation gives a sense of the underlying argument for a level of caution... 9/25/2010 10:42:57 PM

<u>ConservativeKen</u> wrote: Below is a very pro-gay article to lift the ban. Note, even this article mentiones the window period when an HIV infected person is HIV undetectable but highly contagious. Now, not all HIV cases are caused by men having sex with men but the vast majority of infections especially by occurrence are caused by that method. Finally, HIV is disproportionately a gay male disease to the point as many as 25% of urban-American gay and bi-sexual men are HIV positive.

http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/18827137/

New and improved tests, which can detect HIV-positive donors within just 10 to 21 days of infection... 9/25/2010 10:47:51 PM

**kjfraser** wrote: (*Replying to XRIGHT who said: "....Straight people never used to have to worry about AIDS. AIDS became an epidemic through the gay community as a result of wanton promiscuity and more than casual, unprotected sex...."*) You really are closed-minded, aren't you? If you can't be bothered to educate yourself, I have nothing more to say to you. Wait til you find out that the earth is round. 9/25/2010 10:51:17 PM

[Ed: I am going to start leaving out several rude, noninformational posts here.]

<u>ConservativeKen</u> wrote: I definitely hate the sin and not the sinner. I am contemptous of this sin especially and as a result of the manner gay advocates have been treating decent people. I am

graphic in my response to shock the senses of people under delusion to expose just how absurd it is to call this conduct normal. My point was to highlight the danger the public is exposed to if the male gay blood ban is changed and yet not one of the homoactivists on this forum has an issue with gay men donating blood. This is shocking since gay men are getting infected at 1-3% a year within that population per year and during that infection period will transmit the disease via blood donation even though there is a two week window it cannot be detected by any test. So, letting gay men donate blood will categorically translated into HIV infection into the blood supply. Finally, I asked if anyone proven to have donated HIV tainted blood during that period should be prosecuted. Again, homoactivists are silent... 9/26/2010 7:27:56 AM